

APPLICATIONS OF THE AXIOM OF CHOICE TO CONSTRUCTIONS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

PÉTER KOMJÁTH

ABSTRACT. We give a short account of corollaries of the Axiom of Choice, which show the existence of curious configurations or colorings of the Euclidean spaces. The paper is readable even with a minimal knowledge of set theory. A possible new result is also proved: pairwise disjoint flags can be elected on each point of the plane.

1. THE AXIOM OF CHOICE.

The axiom¹ of choice, whose statement is given below, is an important tool for proving results on uncountable sets.

If $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is an arbitrary set of nonempty sets, then there is a function f such that $f(i) \in A_i$ for $i \in I$.

The AC has various ‘good’ (or at least useful) consequences, e.g.,

- each set carries a well ordering (an ordering such that every nonempty subset has a least element),
- cardinal comparison satisfies trichotomy,
- $x^2 = x$ holds for every infinite cardinal x ,
- every vector space contains a basis, any two bases have the same cardinality, etc, etc.

On the other hand, there are curious corollaries, as

- there is a nonmeasurable set,
- the Banach–Tarski paradox.

Here we give a reader-friendly, short introduction to results of the latter type.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E25.

¹Partially supported by Hungarian National Research Grant OTKA K 131842. Text of the lecture given at the Academy of Bosnia and Hercegovina, Sarajevo, Feb. 23, 2018.

2. MAZURKIEWICZ'S THEOREM

One of the popular corollaries of AC is the following theorem of Mazurkiewicz.

Theorem 2.1. (Mazurkiewicz, 1918) *There is a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $|A \cap L| = 2$ for each straight line L .*

The proof uses the fact that there are \mathfrak{c} (continuum) many lines and each is of cardinality \mathfrak{c} .

In order to give a motivation for the proof, it is instructive to pretend that there are countably many lines, each of cardinality \aleph_0 (countably infinite): L_0, L_1, \dots

By mathematical induction on i we choose (0,1, or) 2 points from each L_i , such that

- there will be exactly 2 points chosen from L_i ,
- no 3 collinear points are chosen.

This is possible: when arriving at L_i , a finite set B of noncollinear points have been chosen.

If $|B \cap L_i| = 2$, go to next stage.

Otherwise, we have to choose 1 or 2 points from L_i . We cannot choose any point that is on a line connecting some 2 points of B . This excludes finitely many points and L_i is infinite, so choice is possible.

To transform this to a real proof, we need

- a (well) order of the set of the lines (AC),
- a machinery that makes possible recursive selection along a well ordering (theorem on transfinite recursion).

3. RATIONAL DISTANCES

Paul Erdős asked: Can \mathbb{R}^d be partitioned into countably many parts, each omitting rational distances?

Trivial for $d = 1$: the relation $x \sim y$ if $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ is an equivalence relation, with each equivalence class countable.

Partition \mathbb{R} into countably many parts so that the elements of each equivalence class be in distinct parts.

$d = 2$. Reformulated as a graph problem: if X_2 is the graph on \mathbb{R}^2 where x, y are joined iff $d(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}$, then the chromatic number of X_2 is countable, $\text{Chr}(X_2) \leq \aleph_0$.

Erdős–Hajnal: if X does not contain K_{2, \aleph_1} , then $\text{Chr}(X) \leq \aleph_0$.

Suffices to show that X_2 does not contain K_{2, \aleph_1} . That is, if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, a), d(x, b) \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is countable. Indeed, if $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(x, a) = p, d(x, b) = q\}$ has at most 2 elements. As there are countably many pairs of rational numbers, we are finished.

Erdős and Hajnal prove more: there is a well ordering \prec of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there are only finitely many $y \prec x$ with $d(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

This immediately implies $\text{Chr}(X_2) \leq \aleph_0$.

In one of his problem-papers, Erdős claimed that a similar argument works for $d \geq 3$: the corresponding X_d (rational distance graph on \mathbb{R}^d) does not contain K_{d, \aleph_1} which implies $\text{Chr}(X_d) \leq \aleph_0$.

This is false: there is K_{3, \aleph_1} in \mathbb{R}^3 : A is formed of 3 points of a line L , B is a circle around L whose plane is perpendicular to L .

Theorem 3.1. (Komjáth, [4]) $\text{Chr}(X_d) = \aleph_0$.

The proof is done by analyzing bipartite subgraphs which occur in examples as the above.

Jim Schmerl later gave a very nice alternative proof. It shows the existence of a well ordering \prec of \mathbb{R}^d such that if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then there are no x_0, x_1, \dots with

- $d(x_i, x) \in \mathbb{Q}$,
- $x_i \prec x$,
- $x_i \rightarrow x$ (in the Euclidean topology).

This gives the result: for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $K(x)$ be a rational cube around x such that for no $y \in K(x)$, $y \prec x$, does $d(y, x) \in \mathbb{Q}$ hold.

Then, if $K(x) = K(y)$, then $d(x, y) \notin \mathbb{Q}$. The coloring $x \mapsto K(x)$ is therefore a coloring with countably many colors, so that no color class contains two points with rational distance.

4. DIRECTIONS

Theorem 4.1. (Roy O. Davies) *If E_0, E_1, \dots are infinitely many distinct directions on the plane, then there is a coloring $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots\}$ such that for each n , $f^{-1}(n)$ intersects each line of direction E_n in at most 1 point.*

In fact, more holds: there is a well ordering \prec of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for each x only finitely many n occur such that yx is in direction E_n for some $y \prec x$.

We use the latter result to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let P be a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then we can erect pairwise disjoint flags $F(p)$ on each $p \in P$. A flag $F(p)$ is a closed segment $A(p)$ perpendicular to P , with p as one endpoint, the other endpoint above P , plus a rectangle $B(p)$, one of whose side is a subsegment of $A(p)$.*

Proof. Choose a sequence

$$a_0 > b_0 > a_1 > b_1 > \dots$$

of reals converging to 0. Let E_0, E_1, \dots be distinct directions on P , let \prec be a well ordering of P as in Davies's theorem. For $p \in P$ let $n(p)$ be a natural number such that if $q \prec p$, then qp is not in direction $E_{n(p)}, E_{n(p)+1}, E_{n(p)+2}, \dots$. Then the set $A(p) = [0, a_{n(p)}] \times \{p\}$ and $B(p) = [b_{n(p)}, a_{n(p)}] \times [p, y]$ for some y that py is in direction $E_{n(p)}$. Finally, $F(p) = A(p) \cup B(p)$ is the flag erected from p .

We have to show that $F(p) \cap F(q) = \emptyset$ for $p \neq q$.

Clearly $A(p) \cap A(q) = \emptyset$.

Assume $B(p) \cap A(q) \neq \emptyset$.

Then

- $n(q) \leq n(p)$ (as otherwise $A(q)$ is too short to meet $B(p)$),
- the direction of pq is $E_{n(p)}$ (by the definition of $B(p)$).

Both $p \prec q$ and $q \prec p$ contradict these.

Assume that $B(p) \cap B(q) \neq \emptyset$.

By height considerations, $n(p) = n(q)$. Then both $B(p)$ and $B(q)$ are of direction $E_{n(p)}$, so as they meet, pq is also of direction $E_{n(p)}$. Case $p \prec q$ contradicts the choice of $n(q)$, case $q \prec p$ contradicts the choice of $n(p)$. \square

5. METHODS

Most proof uses one of the two methods.

1. Use a basis.
2. Induction on cardinality.

This builds on the following strange argument. Assume, for example, that we want to prove that there is a coloring $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ omitting rational distances.

Lemma 5.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a coloring $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ omitting rational distances.*
- (2) *For every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ there is a coloring $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ omitting rational distances.*

Proof. (2) formally contains (1). On the other hand, if (1) holds, that is, there is a good coloring f for \mathbb{R}^2 , then $f|_A$ will be a good coloring for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. \square

And so we can prove the result for each $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ by transfinite induction on $|A|$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, A. Tarski: Sur la decomposition des ensembles de points en parties respectivement congruents, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **6**(1924), 244–277.
- [2] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal: On chromatic number of graphs and set systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci.*, **17**(1966), 61–99.
- [3] P. Erdős, P. Komjáth: Countable decompositions of \mathbf{R}^2 and \mathbf{R}^3 , *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, **5**(1990), 325–331.
- [4] P. Komjáth: A decomposition theorem for \mathbf{R}^n , *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **120**(1994), 921–927.

(Received: December 05, 2020)

(Revised: April 21, 2021)

Péter Komjáth
 Institute of Mathematics
 Eötvös University
 Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/C
 1117, Hungary
 e-mail: peter.komjath@gmail.com