

## THE STEINHAUS-WEIL PROPERTY: II. THE SIMMONS-MOSPAN CONVERSE

NICHOLAS H. BINGHAM AND ADAM J. OSTASZEWSKII

*In memory of Harry I. Miller (1939 - 2018)*

ABSTRACT. In this second part of a four-part series (with Parts I, III, IV referring to [BinO4,5,6]), we develop (via Propositions 1, 2 and Theorems 1, 2) a number of relatives of the Simmons-Mospan theorem, a converse to the Steinhaus-Weil theorem (for another, see [BinO1], and for yet others [BinO3, §8.5]). In Part III [BinO5, Theorems 1, 2], we link this with topologies of Weil type.

### 1. A LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION

We study the Simmons-Mospan converse of the Abstract. We use the notation and terminology of Part I [BinO4] and refer also to the longer arXiv version [BinO3] combining all four parts. In particular, we recall the following:  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  denotes the compact subsets of  $G$ , a metric group,  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  (and its subset  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ ) denotes the family of regular  $\sigma$ -finite measures (respectively, probabilities) on  $G$ ; for  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_n\}$  a null sequence (i.e.  $t_n \rightarrow 1_G$ ),  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathbf{t})$  denotes the selective measure corresponding to  $\mathbf{t}$ , as guaranteed by the Subcontinuity Theorem of I (cf. Theorems 1 and 1<sub>S</sub> of I), generated via amenability at 1 from probability measures  $\mu_n$  which sum along  $\mathbf{t}$  beyond  $n$  the Dirac point-masses with dyadic weights. Thus for  $K$  compact,  $\sigma$  is ('selectively') subcontinuous down an appropriate subsequence of  $\mathbf{t}$ . (Such subsequences mimic the admissible directions in the Cameron-Martin theory of Gaussian measures, cf. [Bog], [BinO2].) We make use of the *Mospan property* of a probability measure  $\mu$  (I Prop. 6) relating the failure of the interior-point property that  $1_G \in \text{int}(K^{-1}K)$  for non-null compact  $K$  to the failure of subcontinuity of  $\mu$  at  $K$ , that is:  $0 = \mu_-(K) := \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf\{\mu(Kt) : t \in B_\delta\}$  (with  $B_\delta$  the ball of radius  $\delta$  about  $1_G$ ).

We begin with definitions isolating left-handed components in Christensen's notion of Haar null sets [Chr1,2], and Solecki's left Haar null sets [Sol1,2]; whilst

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A10, 43A05; Secondary 28C10.

*Key words and phrases.* Steinhaus-Weil property, amenability at 1, measure subcontinuity, Simmons-Mospan theorem, Weil topology, interior-points property, Haar measure, Lebesgue decomposition, left Haar null, selective measure, Cameron-Martin space.

left-handedness is the preferred choice below, right-handed versions have analogous properties. As far as we are aware, the component notions in parts (ii)-(iv) here have not been previously studied. Below,  $G$  is a Polish group, unless otherwise stated.

**Definition.** (i) **Left  $\mu$ -null:** For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ , say that  $N \subseteq G$  is *left  $\mu$ -null* ( $N \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ ) if it is contained in a universally measurable set  $B \subseteq G$  such that

$$\mu(gB) = 0 \quad (g \in G).$$

Thus a set  $S \subseteq G$  is *left Haar null* ([Sol3] after [Chr1]) if it is contained in a universally measurable set  $B \subseteq G$  that is left  $\mu$ -null for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ .

(ii) **Left  $\mu$ -inversion:** For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ , say that  $N \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$  is *left invertibly  $\mu$ -null* ( $N \in \mathcal{M}_0^{L\text{-inv}}(\mu)$ ) if

$$N^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu),$$

so that  $N^{-1}$  is contained in a universally measurable set  $B^{-1}$  such that

$$\mu(gB^{-1}) = 0 \quad (g \in G).$$

(iii) **Left  $\mu$ -absolute continuity:** For  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $\nu$  is *left absolutely continuous* w.r.t.  $\mu$  ( $\nu \ll^L \mu$ ) if  $\nu(N) = 0$  for each  $N \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ , and likewise for the invertibility version:  $\nu \ll^{L\text{-inv}} \mu$ .

(iv) **Left  $\mu$ -singularity:** For  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $\nu$  is *left singular* w.r.t.  $\mu$  (on  $B$ ) ( $\nu \perp^L \mu$  (on  $B$ )) if  $B$  is a support of  $\nu$  and  $B \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ , and likewise  $\nu \perp^{L\text{-inv}} \mu$ .

**Remark.** For  $\mu$  symmetric, since

$${}_{g^{-1}}\mu(B) = \mu_g(B^{-1})$$

if  $B$  is left  $\mu$ -null we may conclude only that  $B^{-1}$  is right  $\mu$ -null. The ‘inversion property’, property (ii) above, is thus quite strong (though obvious in the abelian case).

Notice that each of  $\mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_0^{L\text{-inv}}(\mu)$  forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra (since  $g\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} gB_n$  and  $g(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n)^{-1} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} gB_n^{-1}$ ). This implies the following left versions of the Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem (we need the second one below). The ‘pedestrian’ proof demonstrates that the Principle of Dependent Choice (DC) suffices, a further example that ‘positive’ results in measure theory follow from DC (as Solovay points out in [Solo, p. 31]).

**Theorem LD.** For  $G$  a Polish group,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ , there are  $\nu_a, \nu_s \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  with

$$\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s \text{ with } \nu_a \ll^L \mu \text{ and } \nu_s \perp^L \mu,$$

and likewise, there are  $\nu'_a, \nu'_s \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  with

$$\nu = \nu'_a + \nu'_s \text{ with } \nu_a \ll^{L\text{-inv}} \mu \text{ and } \nu_s \perp^{L\text{-inv}} \mu.$$

*Proof.* As the proof depends on  $\sigma$ -additivity, it will suffice to check the ‘L’ case. Write  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$  with the  $G_n$  disjoint, universally measurable, and with each  $v(G_n)$  finite (say, with all but one term  $\sigma$ -compact, and their complement  $v$ -null). Put  $s_n := \sup\{v(E) : E \subseteq G_n, E \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)\}$ . In  $\mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ , for each  $n$  with  $s_n > 0$ , choose  $E_{n,m} \subseteq G_n$  with  $v(E_{n,m}) > s_n - 1/m$ , and put  $B_n := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} E_{n,m} \subseteq G_n$ . Then the sets  $B_n$  are disjoint and lie in  $\mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ , as also does  $B := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ ; moreover,  $v(G_n \setminus B_n) = 0$  for each  $n$ . Put  $A := G \setminus B$ . Then  $v(M) = 0$  for  $M \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$  with  $M \subseteq A$ , since  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (G_n \setminus B_n)$ . So  $v_a := v|_A <^L \mu$ , and  $v_s := v|_{B \perp^L \mu}$ , since  $B \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** A simpler argument rests on maximality: choose a maximal disjoint family  $\mathcal{B}$  of universally measurable sets  $M \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$  with finite positive  $v(M)$ ; then, their union  $B \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$  (as  $\mathcal{B}$  would be countable, by the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $v$ ).

## 2. DISCONTINUITY: THE SIMMONS-MOSPAN THEOREM

It is convenient to begin by repeating the gist of the Simmons-Mospan argument here, as it is short, despite its ‘near perfect disguise’, to paraphrase Loomis [Loo, p. 85]. The result follows from their use of the Fubini Theorem and the Lebesgue decomposition theorem of §1 above, but here we stress the dependence on the Fubini Null Theorem (Part I §1 – Fubini’s Theorem for null sets) and on left  $\mu$ -inversion. We revert to the Weil left-sided convention and associated  $KK^{-1}$  usage.

**Proposition 1 (Local almost nullity).** *For  $G$  a Polish group,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $V \subseteq G$  open and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}_0^{L\text{-inv}}(\mu)$ , so that  $K, K^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ :*

– for any  $v \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $v(tK) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $t \in V$ , and likewise  $v(Kt) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $v$  invertibly  $\mu$ -absolutely continuous (as in §1 above), the conclusion is immediate; for general  $v$  this will follow from Theorem LD (§1), once we have proved the corresponding singular version of the assertion: that is the nub of the proof.

Thus, suppose that  $v \perp^{L\text{-inv}} \mu$  on  $K$ . For  $t \in V$  let  $t = uw$  be any expression for  $t$  as a group product of  $u, w \in G$ , and note that  $\mu(uK^{-1}) = 0$ , as  $K^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_0^L(\mu)$ . Let  $H$  be the set

$$\bigcup_{t \in V} (\{t\} \times tK),$$

here viewed as a union of vertical  $t$ -sections. We next express it as a union of  $u$ -horizontal sections and apply the Fubini Null Theorem (Th. FN, Part I §1).

Since  $u = tk = uwk$  is equivalent to  $w = k^{-1}$ , the  $u$ -horizontal sections of  $H$  may now be rewritten, eliminating  $t$ , as

$$\{(t, u) : uw = t \in V, u \in tK = uwK\} = \{(uw, u) : uw \in V, uw \in uK^{-1}\}.$$

So  $H$  may now be viewed as a union of  $u$ -horizontal sections as

$$\bigcup_{u \in G} (V \cap (uK^{-1})) \times \{u\},$$

all of these  $u$ -horizontal sections being  $\mu$ -null. By Th. FN,  $\mu$ -almost all vertical  $t$ -sections of  $H$  for  $t \in V$  are  $\nu$ -null. As the assumptions on  $K$  are symmetric the right-sided version follows.  $\square$

The result here brings to mind the Dodos Dichotomy Theorem [Dod1, Th. A] for *abelian* Polish groups  $G$ : if an analytic set  $A$  is witnessed as Haar-null under one measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , then either  $A$  is Haar-null for quasi all  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(G)$  or else it is not Haar-null for quasi all such  $\nu$ , i.e. if  $A \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mu)$  (omitting the unnecessary superscript L), then either  $A \in \mathcal{M}_0(\nu)$  for quasi all such  $\nu$ , or  $A \notin \mathcal{M}_0(\nu)$  for quasi all such  $\nu$  (i.e. *quasi all* w.r.t. the Prokhorov-Lévy metric in  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  [Dud, 11.3, cf. 9.2]). Indeed, [Dod2, Prop. 5] when  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -compact  $A$  is Haar-null for quasi all  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ . The result is also reminiscent of [Amb, Lemma 1.1].

Before stating the Simmons-Mospan specialization to the Haar context and also to motivate one of the conditions in its subsequent generalizations, we cite (and give a direct proof of) the following known result (equivalence of Haar measure  $\eta$  and its inverse  $\tilde{\eta}$ ), encapsulated in the formula

$$\tilde{\eta}(K) := \eta(K^{-1}) = \int_K d\eta(t)/\Delta(t) \quad (K \in \mathcal{X}(G)),$$

exhibiting the direct connection between  $\eta$  and  $\tilde{\eta}$  via the (positive) modular function  $\Delta$  [HewR, 15.14], or [Hal, §60.5f]; this equivalence result holds more generally between any two probability measures when one is left and the other right *quasi-invariant* – see [Xia, Cor. 3.1.4]; this is related to a theorem of Mackey's [Mac], cf. the longer combined arXiv version [BinO3, §8.16]. As will be seen from the proof, in Lemma H below, there is no need to assume the group is separable: a compact metrizable subspace (being totally bounded) is separable.

**Lemma H** (cf. [Hal, §50(ff); §59 Th. D]). *In a locally compact metrizable group  $G$ , for  $K \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ , if  $\eta(K) = 0$ , then  $\eta(K^{-1}) = 0$ , and, by regularity, likewise for  $K$  measurable.*

*Proof.* Fix an  $\eta$ -null  $K \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ . As  $K$  is compact, the modular function  $\Delta$  of  $G$  is bounded away from 0 on  $K$ , say by  $M > 0$ ; furthermore,  $K$  is separable, so pick  $\{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  dense in  $K$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are two (finite) sequences  $m(1), \dots, m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta(1), \dots, \delta(n) > 0$  such that  $\{B_{\delta(i)}d_{m(i)} : i \leq n\}$  covers  $K$  and

$$M \sum_{i \leq n} \eta(B_{\delta(i)}) \leq \sum_{i \leq n} \eta(B_{\delta(i)}) \Delta(d_{m(i)}) = \sum_{i \leq n} \eta(B_{\delta(i)}d_{m(i)}) < \varepsilon.$$

Then, as  $\eta$  is left-invariant,

$$\sum_{i \leq n} \eta(d_{m(i)}^{-1}B_{\delta(i)}) = \sum_{i \leq n} \eta(B_{\delta(i)}) \leq \varepsilon/M.$$

But  $\{d_{m(i)}^{-1}B_{\delta(i)} : i \leq n\}$  covers  $K^{-1}$  by the symmetry of the balls  $B_\delta$  (by the symmetry of the norm); so, as  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\eta(K^{-1}) = 0$ .

As for the final assertion, if  $\eta(E^{-1}) > 0$  for some measurable  $E$ , then  $\eta(K^{-1}) > 0$  for some compact  $K^{-1} \subseteq E^{-1}$ , by regularity; then  $\eta(K) > 0$ , and so  $\eta(E) > 0$ .  $\square$

Proposition 1 and Lemma H immediately give:

**Theorem SakM** (cf. [Sak, III.11], [Mos], [BarFF, Th. 7]). *For  $G$  a locally compact group with left Haar measure  $\eta$  and  $\nu$  a Borel measure on  $G$ , if the set  $S$  is  $\eta$ -null, then for  $\eta$ -almost all  $t$*

$$\nu(tS) = 0.$$

*In particular, this is so for  $S$  the support of a measure  $\nu$  singular with respect to  $\eta$ .*

This in turn allows us to prove the locally compact (separable) case of the Simmons-Mospan Theorem, Theorem SM ([Sim, Th. 1], [Mos, Th. 7], recently rediscovered in the abelian case [BarFF, Th. 10]). Then in Theorem 2 below we pursue a non-locally compact variant.

**Theorem SM.** *In a locally compact Polish group, a Borel measure has the Steinhaus-Weil property if and only if it is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure.*

*Proof.* For  $K$  compact and  $\mu$  absolutely continuous w.r.t. Haar measure  $\eta$ , if  $\mu(K) > 0$  then  $\eta(K) > 0$  and so as  $\eta$ , being invariant, is subcontinuous, Lemma 1 of Part I §2 gives the Steinhaus-Weil property. Otherwise, decomposing  $\mu$  into its singular and absolutely continuous parts w.r.t.  $\eta$ , choose  $K$  a compact subset of the support of the singular part of  $\mu$ ; then  $\mu(K) > \mu_-(K) = 0$ , by Theorem SakM above, and so Prop 6 (ii) (the converse part – see I §2) on the Mospan property applies, giving a non-null compact set  $C$  without the interior-point property.  $\square$

**Proposition 2** (after Simmons, cf. [Sim, Lemma] and [BarFF, Th. 8]). *For  $G$  a Polish group,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  and  $\nu \perp^{\text{L-inv}} \mu$  concentrated on a compact left invertibly  $\mu$ -null set  $K$ , there is a Borel  $B \subseteq K$  such that  $K \setminus B$  is  $\nu$ -null and both  $BB^{-1}$  and  $B^{-1}B$  have empty interior.*

*Proof.* As we are concerned only with the subspace  $KK^{-1} \cup K^{-1}K$ , w.l.o.g. the group  $G$  is separable. By Prop. 1 above,  $Z := \{x : \nu(xK) = 0\}$  is dense and so also is

$$Z_1 := \{x : \nu(K \cap xK) = 0\},$$

since  $\nu(K \cap xK) \leq \nu(xK) = 0$ , so that  $Z \subseteq Z_1$ . Take a denumerable dense set  $D \subseteq Z_1$  and put

$$S := \bigcup_{d \in D} K \cap dK.$$

Then  $\nu(S) = 0$ . Take  $B := K \setminus S$ . If  $\emptyset \neq V \subseteq BB^{-1}$  and  $d \in D \cap V$ , then for some  $b_1, b_2 \in B \subseteq K$

$$d = b_1 b_2^{-1} : \quad b_1 = db_2 \in K \cap dK \subseteq S,$$

a contradiction, since  $B \cap S = \emptyset$ . So  $(K \setminus S)(K \setminus S)^{-1}$  has empty interior. A similar argument based on

$$T := \bigcup_{d \in D} Kd \cap K$$

ensures that also  $(K \setminus S \setminus T)^{-1}(K \setminus S \setminus T)$  has empty interior.  $\square$

In order to generalize the Simmons Theorem from its locally compact context we will need to cite the following result. Here  $\mathbb{Q}_+ := \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$  denotes the positive rationals, and  $B_\delta^{K, \Delta}(\sigma) := \{z \in B_\delta : \sigma(Kz) > \Delta\}$  as in Part I §2.

**Theorem 1 (Disaggregation Theorem, [BinO2, Th. 7.1, Prop. 7.1]).** *Let  $G$  be a Polish group that is strongly amenable at 1, and let  $\mathbf{t}$  be a regular null sequence. For  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathbf{t})$  there are a countable family  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_+(\sigma)$ , a countable set  $D = D(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq G$  dense in  $G$ , and a dense subset  $G(\sigma)$  of  $G$  on which the sets below are the sub-basic sets of a metrizable topology:*

$$B_\delta^{K, \Delta}(\sigma) \quad (K \in \mathcal{H}, \delta, \Delta \in \mathbb{Q}_+, \Delta < \sigma(K)).$$

*In particular, the space  $G(\sigma)$  is continuously and compactly embedded in  $G$ . Moreover, each such sub-basic open set contains a cofinal subsequence of  $\mathbf{t}$ .*

For a proof we refer the reader to [BinO2]; the result relies on I Cor. 4, the closing result in I, establishing the sub-basic property referred to here. The subspace  $G(\sigma)$  above is a topological analogue of the *Cameron-Martin* subspace  $H(\gamma)$  of a locally convex topological vector space equipped with a Radon Gaussian measure  $\gamma$  – see [BinO3, §8.2-3].

We are now ready for the promised generalization. This requires equivalence of the selective measure  $\sigma(\mathbf{t})$  (Part I §2) and its inverse – which is valid at least in Polish abelian groups (see Part I, Th. 4 §2 on strong amenability at 1).

**Theorem 2 (Generalized Simmons Theorem, cf. [Sim, Th. 2]).** *Let  $G$  be a Polish group that is strongly amenable at 1 (which holds e.g. if  $G$  is abelian), let  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathbf{t})$  be a selective measure corresponding to a regular null sequence  $\mathbf{t}$ , which we assume is equivalent to its inverse  $\tilde{\sigma}$  (e.g. if  $G$  is abelian), and let  $G(\sigma)$  be the dense subspace endowed with the refinement topology as in the preceding theorem. Then:*

*$\nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  is left invertibly-singular w.r.t.  $\sigma$  iff  $\nu$  has a support that is a  $\sigma$ -compact union of compact sets  $K_n$  with each of the compact sets  $K_n K_n^{-1}$  and  $K_n^{-1} K_n$  nowhere dense (equivalently: having empty interior) in the topology of  $G(\sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ , by Theorem LD write

$$\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s \text{ with } \nu_a \prec^{L\text{-inv}} \sigma \text{ and } \nu_s \perp^{L\text{-inv}} \sigma.$$

If  $\nu$  is concentrated as in the statement of the theorem on a  $\sigma$ -compact set  $B$  with  $B^{-1}B$  having empty interior in  $G(\sigma)$ , then  $\nu_a = 0$ , and so  $\nu$  is left invertibly-singular w.r.t.  $\mu$ . Indeed, as  $\nu$  is concentrated on  $B$ , so is  $\nu_a$ . We claim that  $\nu_a(B) = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\nu_a(K_n) > 0$  for one of the sequence of compact sets  $K_n$  with union  $B$ . So  $K := K_n \notin \mathcal{M}_0^{L\text{-inv}}(\sigma)$ , as  $\nu_a \prec^{L\text{-inv}} \sigma$ . The argument now splits into two cases, according as  $K \notin \mathcal{M}_0^L(\sigma)$  or  $K^{-1} \notin \mathcal{M}_0^L(\sigma)$

First, suppose that  $\sigma(gK) > 0$  for some  $g \in G$ ; then, by Part I, Lemma 1, there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \Delta < \sigma_{-}^{\mathbf{t}}(gK)$  with

$$B_{\delta}^{gK, \Delta}(\sigma) \subseteq (gK)^{-1}gK = K^{-1}K \subseteq B^{-1}B,$$

contradicting the above property of  $B$ .

Next, suppose that  $\sigma(Kg) = \sigma^{-1}(g^{-1}K^{-1}) > 0$  for some  $g \in G$ ; so  $\sigma(g^{-1}K^{-1}) > 0$ , as  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is equivalent to  $\sigma$ . Then, again by Part I Lemma 1, there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \Delta < \sigma_{-}^{\mathbf{t}}(g^{-1}K^{-1})$  with

$$B_{\delta}^{g^{-1}K^{-1}, \Delta}(\sigma) \subseteq (g^{-1}K^{-1})^{-1}g^{-1}K^{-1} = KK^{-1} \subseteq BB^{-1},$$

again contradicting the above property of  $B$ . So  $\nu = \nu_a$  is invertibly singular w.r.t.  $\sigma$ .

The rest of the proof is as in Simmons [Sim, Th. 2], using I Prop. 6 (Mospan property): the Baire-category argument still holds, since compactness implies closure under the  $G(\sigma(\mathbf{t}))$ -topology, the latter being a finer topology; avoidance of interior-points requires second countability, assured by Th. 1 above.  $\square$

**Corollary 5 (Simmons Theorem:** [Sim, Th. 2]). *For  $G$  separable and locally compact and  $\eta$  left Haar measure:*

*$\nu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  is singular w.r.t.  $\eta$  iff  $\nu$  has a support that is a  $\sigma$ -compact union of compact sets  $K_n$  with each of the compact sets  $K_n K_n^{-1}$  nowhere dense (equivalently: having empty interior).*

For the non-separable version of the above, see [BinO3, §8.1].

## REFERENCES

- [Amb] W. Ambrose, *Measures on locally compact topological groups*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **61** (1947), 106–121.
- [BarFF] A. Bartoszewicz, M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak, *On supports of probability Bernoulli-like measures*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **462** (2018), 26–35.
- [BinO1] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, *Beyond Lebesgue and Baire IV: Density topologies and a converse Steinhaus-Weil theorem*. *Topology and its Applications* **239** (2018), 274–292 (arXiv:1607.00031).
- [BinO2] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, *Beyond Haar and Cameron-Martin: the Steinhaus support*. *Topology Appl.* **260** (2019), 23–56 (arXiv: 1805.02325v2).
- [BinO3] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, *The Steinhaus-Weil property and its converse: subcontinuity and amenability* (arXiv:1607.00049).
- [BinO4] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, *The Steinhaus-Weil property: I. Subcontinuity and amenability*. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **16** (2020), to appear.
- [BinO5] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, *The Steinhaus-Weil property: III. Weil topologies*. Preprint.
- [BinO6] N. H. Bingham and A. J. Ostaszewski, *The Steinhaus-Weil property: IV. Other interior-point properties*. Preprint.
- [Bog] V. I. Bogachev, *Gaussian Measures*, *Math. Surveys & Monographs* **62**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [Chr1] J. P. R. Christensen, *On sets of Haar measure zero in abelian Polish groups*. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Partial Differential Equations and the Geometry of Normed Linear Spaces* (Jerusalem, 1972). *Israel J. Math.* **13** (1972), 255–260 (1973).

- [Chr2] J. P. R. Christensen, *Topology and Borel structure. Descriptive topology and set theory with applications to functional analysis and measure theory*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies **10**, 1974.
- [Dod1] P. Dodos, *Dichotomies of the set of test measures of a Haar-null set*. *Israel J. Math.* **144** (2004), 15-28.
- [Dod2] P. Dodos, *On certain regularity properties of Haar-null sets*. *Fund. Math.* **191** (2004), 97-109.
- [Dud] R. M. Dudley. *Real analysis and probability*. Revised ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **74**. Cambridge University Press, 2002 (1<sup>st</sup> ed. 1989).
- [Hal] P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. **18**, Springer 1974 (1<sup>st</sup> ed. Van Nostrand, 1950).
- [HewR] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. I, Grundle. math. Wiss. **115**, Springer 1963 [Vol. II, Grundle. **152**, 1970].
- [Loo] L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*. Van Nostrand, 1953.
- [Mac] G. W. Mackey, *Borel structure in groups and their duals*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 134–165.
- [Mos] Y. V. Mospan, *A converse to a theorem of Steinhaus-Weil*. *Real An. Exch.* **31** (2005), 291-294.
- [Sak] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Dover, 1964 (1<sup>st</sup> ed. 1937, Monografie Mat. **VII**).
- [Sim] S. M. Simmons, *A converse Steinhaus-Weil theorem for locally compact groups*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 383-386.
- [Sol1] S. Solecki, *Size of subsets of groups and Haar null sets*. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2005), 246-273.
- [Sol2] S. Solecki, *Amenability, free subgroups, and Haar null sets in non-locally compact groups*. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **93** (2006), 693–722.
- [Sol3] S. Solecki, *A Fubini theorem*. *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), 2462–2464.
- [Solo] R. M. Solovay, *A model of set-theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable*. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **92** (1970), 1–56.
- [Xia] D. X. Xia, *Measure and integration theory on infinite-dimensional spaces*. *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Pure and App. Math. **48**. Academic Press, 1972.

(Received: November 17, 2019)

(Revised: July 07, 2020)

Nicholas H. Bingham  
 Mathematics Department  
 Imperial College  
 London SW7 2AZ  
 e-mail [n.bingham@ic.ac.uk](mailto:n.bingham@ic.ac.uk)  
 and  
 Adam J. Ostaszewski  
 Mathematics Department  
 London School of Economics  
 Houghton Street  
 London WC2A 2AE  
 e-mail [A.J.Ostaszewski@lse.ac.uk](mailto:A.J.Ostaszewski@lse.ac.uk)