

SURVEY ON THE KAKUTANI PROBLEM IN P-ADIC ANALYSIS II

ALAIN ESCASSUT

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{K} be a complete ultrametric algebraically closed field and let A be the Banach \mathbb{K} -algebra of bounded analytic functions in the "open" unit disk D of \mathbb{K} provided with the Gauss norm. Let $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be the set of continuous multiplicative semi-norms of A provided with the topology of pointwise convergence, let $Mult_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be the subset of the $\phi \in Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ whose kernel is a maximal ideal and let $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be the subset of the $\phi \in Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ whose kernel is a maximal ideal of the form $(x - a)A$ with $a \in D$. By analogy with the Archimedean context, one usually calls *ultrametric Corona problem*, or *ultrametric Kakutani problem* the question whether $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in $Mult_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$. In a previous paper, we have recalled the characterization of a large set of continuous multiplicative semi-norms and why the multibijection of the algebra A would solve the Corona problem. Here we prove that multibijection in the general case. This implies that $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in $Mult_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$, beginning by the case when \mathbb{K} is spherically complete and generalizing next.

CONTENTS

Paragraph I. <i>Special prime closed ideals of A</i>	53
Paragraph II. <i>Multiplicative spectrum and maximal ideals</i>	59
Paragraph III. <i>Density of $Mult_1(A, \ \cdot\)$ in $Mult_m(A, \ \cdot\)$</i>	62

I. SPECIAL PRIME CLOSED IDEALS OF A

As explained in [11], we consider the \mathbb{K} -algebra of bounded analytic functions in the "open" disk D of center 0 and diameter 1 and the present paper is aimed at examining whether the set of multiplicative semi-norms whose kernel is a maximal ideal of codimension 1 (which is identified with the disk D) is dense inside the set of multiplicative semi-norms whose kernel is a maximal ideal, with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence: this is the Kakutani problem for the algebra A . That will require to deepen the link between maximal ideals of infinite codimension, ultrafilters on D and multiplicative continuous semi-norms.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 12J25 Secondary 46S10.

Key words and phrases. p -adic analytic functions, corona problem, multiplicative spectrum.

Notations. All notations of [11] are used here. Let \mathbf{IK} be an algebraically closed field complete with respect to an ultrametric absolute value $|\cdot|$. Given $a \in \mathbf{IK}$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $d(a, r)$ the disk $\{x \in \mathbf{IK} \mid |x - a| \leq r\}$, by $d(a, r^-)$ the disk $\{x \in \mathbf{IK} \mid |x - a| < r\}$, by $C(a, r)$ the circle $\{x \in \mathbf{IK} \mid |x - a| = r\}$ and set $D = d(0, 1^-)$. Let $a \in D$. Given $r, s \in]0, 1[$ such that $0 < r < s$ we set $\Gamma(a, r, s) = \{x \in \mathbf{IK} \mid r < |x - a| < s\}$.

Let A be the \mathbf{IK} -algebra of bounded power series converging in D which is complete with respect to the Gauss norm defined as $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|$: we know that this norm actually is the norm of uniform convergence on D [5], [13].

Given $a \in D$ and $R \in]0, 1[$ we call *circular filter of center a and diameter R on D* the filter \mathcal{F} which admits as a generating system the family of sets $\Gamma(\alpha, r', r'') \cap D$ with $\alpha \in d(a, R), r' < R < r''$, i.e. \mathcal{F} is the filter which admits for basis the family of sets of the form $D \cap (\cap_{i=1}^q \Gamma(\alpha_i, r'_i, r''_i))$ with $\alpha_i \in d(a, R), r'_i < R < r''_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq q, q \in \mathbb{N}$).

We denote by \mathcal{W} the circular filter on D of center 0 and diameter 1 and by \mathcal{Y} the filter admitting for basis the family of sets of the form $\Gamma(0, r, 1) \setminus (\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} d(a_n, r_n^-))$ with $a_n \in D, r_n \leq |a_n|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 1$.

We denote by $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{IK})$ the \mathbf{IK} -algebra of bounded sequences of \mathbf{IK} . Let $S = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in D thinner than \mathcal{W} . We will denote by T_S the mapping from A into $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{IK})$ which associates to each $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ the sequence $(f(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$, we will denote by $\Sigma(S)$ the set of ultrafilters thinner than S and by $I(S)$ the ideal of the $f \in A$ such that $f(a_n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given $a \in \mathbf{IK}$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $\Phi(a, r)$ the set of circular filters secant with $d(a, r)$ i.e. the circular filters of center $b \in d(a, r)$ and radius $s \in [0, r]$.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on D will be called *coroner ultrafilter* if it is thinner than \mathcal{W} . Similarly, a sequence (a_n) on D will be called a *coroner sequence* if its filter is a coroner filter, i.e. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |a_n| = 1$.

Two coroner ultrafilters \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are said to be *contiguous* if for every subsets $F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{G}$ of D the distance from F to G is null.

As in [11], given a normed \mathbf{IK} -algebra E , we denote by $Mult(E, \|\cdot\|)$ the set of continuous multiplicative semi-norms of \mathbf{IK} -algebra of E [2], [12], [1].

Let $\psi \in Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be different from $\|\cdot\|$. Then ψ will be said to be *coroner* if its restriction to $\mathbf{IK}[x]$ is equal to $\|\cdot\|$.

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a coroner sequence in D . The sequence is called a *regular sequence* if $\inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ n \neq j}} |a_n - a_j| > 0$.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is said to be *regular* if it is thinner than a regular sequence. Thus, by definition, a regular ultrafilter is a coroner ultrafilter.

We denote by $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{IK})$ the \mathbf{IK} -algebra of bounded sequences of \mathbf{IK} .

By Corollary (4.6) in [15], we have Theorem I.1:

Theorem I.1. *Let S be a sequence in D thinner than \mathcal{W} . Then T_S is surjective on $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ if and only if the sequence S is regular.*

Notations. Let S be a regular sequence. Since T_S is surjective, there exists a \mathbb{K} -algebra isomorphism Λ_S from $\frac{A}{\text{Ker}(T_S)}$ onto $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$, where $\text{Ker}(T_S) = I(S)$.

For every ultrafilter \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{N} we will denote by $\Theta(\mathcal{G})$ the ideal of $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ consisting of the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{\mathcal{G}} a_n = 0$.

The following Theorem I.2 is classical [15]:

Theorem I.2. *Θ is a bijection from the set of ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} onto $\text{Max}(B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}))$. The restriction of Θ to the subset of non-principal ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} is a bijection from this set onto the set of non-principal maximal ideals of $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, a maximal ideal of $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ is principal if and only if it is of codimension 1.*

Theorem I.3. *Let S be a regular sequence and let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal of A . The following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) $I(S) \subset \mathcal{M}$,

(ii) *There exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} thinner than S such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$.*

Moreover, the mapping Ψ which associates to each ultrafilter \mathcal{U} thinner than S the ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ is a bijection from $\Sigma(S)$ onto the set of maximal ideals of A containing $I(S)$.

Proof. Obviously, (ii) implies (i). Thus, suppose (i) true. Let $S = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By Theorem I.2, the isomorphism Λ_S makes a bijection Ψ from the set of maximal ideals of A containing $I(S)$ to the set of maximal ideals of $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ and more precisely, it makes a bijection from the set of maximal ideals of A of infinite codimension containing $I(S)$ to the set of maximal ideals of $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ of infinite codimension which actually are the non-principal maximal ideals of $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \Psi(\mathcal{M})$. By Theorem I.1, there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} such that \mathcal{N} is the ideal of the bounded sequences tending to zero along \mathcal{U} . Now, let Ξ be the natural bijection from the set of non-principal ultrafilters of \mathbb{N} onto the set of ultrafilters thinner than S and let $V = \Xi(\mathcal{U})$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \Lambda_S(\mathcal{M})$ hence $\mathcal{M} = I(S)$. Moreover, in this way, we can see that $\Psi \circ \Xi^{-1}$ is a bijection from $\Sigma(S)$ onto the set of maximal ideals of A containing $I(S)$. \square

Corollaire I.3.1. *If \mathcal{U} is a regular ultrafilter on D , $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ is a maximal ideal of A .*

Corollary I.3.2. *If A is multibjective, then for every $\phi \in \text{Mult}_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$ there exists a coroner ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{U}}$.*

In order to prove Theorem I.6, we shall state Theorem I.4:

Theorem I.4. *There exist regular maximal ideals \mathcal{M} of A and $f \in \mathcal{M}$, having a sequence of zeros of order 1 and no other zeros, such that $f' \notin \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. By Theorem III.4 in [11] there exist bounded sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in D such that $a_0 = 1$ and such that the sequence $\left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ is strictly increasing and then the function $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ admits a sequence of zeros $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ satisfying $|\alpha_n| = \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$. Thus, particularly, if we set $r_n = \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$, then by Theorem III.4 in [11] f admits exactly a unique zero in each circle $C(0, r_n)$, each of order 1, and has no other zero in D . Consequently, by Lemma I.8 in [11], we can see that $|f'(\alpha_n)| = |f'(r_n)| \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Now, let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter thinner than the sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$. We can check that the sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is regular, hence \mathcal{U} is a regular ultrafilter. Consequently, by Corollary I.3.1 $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ is a maximal ideal of A . Now, by construction, f belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. But $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |f'(\alpha_n)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |f'(r_n)| = \|f'\| \neq 0$, hence f' does not belong to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. \square

Lemma I.5. *Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a regular sequence, let $\delta = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n \neq k, n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - a_k|$ and let $\rho = \inf_{k \neq n, k, n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - a_k|$. Let $f \in A$ admit each a_n as a zero of order 1 and have no other zero. Then $|f'(x)| \geq \|f\| \frac{\delta}{\rho} \forall x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} d(a_n, (\delta\rho)^-)$.*

Proof. Let us fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r = |a_t|$. Set $u = x - a_t$, $g(u) = f(x)$ and consider $|g|(\rho)$. Since g has a unique zero in $d(0, \rho^-)$ and admits all the $a_n - a_t$ as zeros, by Lemma I.5 and I.8 in [11] we can check that $|g|(\rho) \geq \|g\| \delta = \|f\| \delta$. Inside $d(0, \rho^-)$, $g(u)$ is of the form $b_1 u + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n u^n$ with $|b_1| \rho \geq |b_n| \rho^n \forall n \geq 2$. Consequently, $|g'(u)| \rho \geq \|f\| \delta$ Now $|g'(u)| = |b_1| = \frac{|g(u)|}{\rho} \forall u \in d(0, \rho^-)$. Now, of course $f'(x) = g'(u)$ hence

$$|f'(x)| = \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho} \geq \|f\| \frac{\delta}{\rho} \forall x \in d(a_t, \rho^-).$$

That holds for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem I.6. *Suppose \mathbb{K} is spherically complete. Let \mathcal{M} be a regular maximal ideal of A . There exists $f \in \mathcal{M}$, having a sequence of zeros of order 1 and no other zeros, such that $f' \notin \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} is a regular maximal ideal, there exists a regular sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a regular ultrafilter \mathcal{U} thinner than the sequence (a_n) such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. Since the sequence is regular, we have $\delta = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n \neq k, n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - a_k| > 0$ and $\rho = \inf_{k \neq n, k, n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - a_k| > 0$

Since \mathbb{K} is spherically complete, since $\delta > 0$ we may apply Corollary III.6.1 in [11] showing there exists $f \in A$ admitting each a_n as a zero of order 1 and no other zero. Now by Lemma I.5, we have $|f'(x)| \geq \|f\| \frac{\delta}{\rho} \forall x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} d(a_n, \delta^-)$ which shows that $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f') > 0$ because the set $E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} d(a_n, (\delta\rho)^-)$ obviously belongs to \mathcal{U} . Consequently, f' does not belong to \mathcal{M} . \square

By Theorem III.5 in [11] we may notice the following proposition I.7:

Proposition I.7. *Let \mathbb{K} be spherically complete. Let $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a coroner sequence such that $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| > 0$. There exists $f \in A$ admitting each a_n as a zero of order 1 and having no other zeros.*

Proof. Let E be the divisor on $D(a_n, 1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By Theorem III.16 in [11], there exists $f \in B$ such that $\mathcal{T}(f) \geq E$ and such that $|f|(r) \leq 2|E|(r) \forall r \in]0, 1[$. But since $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n| > 0$, by Corollary II.8.1 in [11] $|E|(r)$ is bounded in $]0, 1[$ and hence f belongs to A . Consequently, I is not null. \square

Notation. Recall that we denote by U the disk $d(0, 1)$. Considering the ring $U[x]$ of polynomials with coefficients in U , we denote by \mathcal{H} be the family of ideals J of $U[x]$ such that $J \cap U \neq \{0\}$ and, given an integer $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let \mathcal{H}_s be the set of $J \in \mathcal{H}$ generated by s elements. For every ideal $J \in \mathcal{H}$ we put $t(J) = \sup\{|x| \mid x \in J \cap U\}$ and $\ell(J) = \inf\{\sup_{f \in J} |f(x)| \mid x \in V\}$ and we denote by $u(J)$ the number such that $t(J) = \ell(J)^{u(J)}$. Finally, we put $m(s) = \sup\{u(J) \mid J \in \mathcal{H}_s\}$. Henceforth, given $f_1, \dots, f_s \in H(U)$ such that $\|f_i\| < 1 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$, we set $w(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \inf\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} |f_i(x)| \mid x \in U\}$. Moreover, given $f_1, \dots, f_s \in A$ we set $\lambda(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \inf\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} |f_i(x)| \mid x \in D\}$.

Remark. Characterizing the coroner ultrafilters \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ is a maximal ideal appears very hard. For instance, consider an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} thinner than \mathcal{Y} . It is a coroner ultrafilter. But $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U}) = \{0\}$. Indeed, suppose a non-identically zero function f lies in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. Let (a_n) be its sequence of zeros, set $r_n = |a_n|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $E = D \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} d(a_n, r_n^-)$. Clearly $|f(x)| = |f|(|x|) \forall x \in E$. However, E belongs to \mathcal{Y} and therefore, \mathcal{U} is secant with E , a contradiction with the hypothesis $f \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$.

On the other hand, the mapping \mathcal{J} from the set of coroner ultrafilters to the set of ideals of A is not injective: as noticed in [11], two contiguous coroner ultrafilters define the same ideal.

Thus, by Theorem III.3 in [11], if an element $\psi \in \text{Mult}(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is neither the Gauss norm nor of the form $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$ on the whole set A , with \mathcal{F} a circular filter on D of diameter $r < 1$, then, its restriction to $\mathbb{K}[x]$ must be the Gauss norm on $\mathbb{K}[x]$. So its kernel is a prime closed ideal included in a maximal ideal of the form $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$, with \mathcal{U} a coroner ultrafilter.

Here we shall first examine the problem of the continuation of $\varphi_{\mathcal{W}}$ to A through multiplicative norms, what was not done in [11].

Theorem I.8. *Let \mathcal{U} be a regular ultrafilter. Then the ideal $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ is a maximal ideal of A and there exists $f \in \mathcal{M}$, having a sequence of zeros of order 1 and no other zeros, such that $f' \notin \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. By Theorem III.4 in [11] we know that there exist sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in D such that the sequence $\left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ is strictly increasing and then the function $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ admits a sequence of zeros $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ satisfying $|\alpha_n| = \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$.

Thus, particularly, if we set $r_n = \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ then f admits exactly a unique zero in each circle $C(0, r_n)$, each of order 1, and has no other zero in D . Consequently, by Lemma I.8 in [11], we can see that $|f'(\alpha_n)| = |f'(r_n)| \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Now, let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter thinner than the sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$. We can check that the sequence (α_n) is regular, hence \mathcal{U} is a regular ultrafilter. Consequently, by Corollary I.3.1 the ideal $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ is a maximal ideal of A . On the other hand, by lemma I.5, f' does not belong to \mathcal{M} . \square

By Theorem I.8 and Corollary 7.2, we now have this corollary:

Corollary I.8.1. *A admits maximal ideals of infinite codimension.*

Following Corollary III.3.1 in [11], we can now complete the characterization of continuous multiplicative norms on A .

Theorem I.9. *Let $\psi \in \text{Mult}(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be coroner. Then ψ is not a norm. Moreover, for every $f \in A$ such that $\psi(f) < \|f\|$, there exists $g \in \text{Ker}(\psi)$ admitting each zero of f as a zero of order superior or equal to its order as a zero of f .*

Proof. Suppose that ψ is a norm different from the Gauss norm $\|\cdot\|$ on A . So, there exists a circular filter \mathcal{F} on D , of diameter $r \leq 1$ such that $\psi(P) = \varphi_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \forall P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$. But by Corollary III.13.1 in [11], we know that $r = 1$ and hence, the restriction of ψ to $\mathbb{K}[x]$ is the Gauss norm. Now, since ψ is not the Gauss norm on A , there exists $f \in A$ such that $\psi(f) < \|f\|$. Actually, without loss of generality, we can choose $f \in A$ such that $\psi(f) < 1 \leq \|f\|$. Let $\rho = \psi(f)$. And, up to a change of origin, we can also assume that $f(0) \neq 0$. By Proposition II.4 in [11], f is not quasi-invertible, hence f has a sequence of zeros $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in D , with $|a_n| \leq |a_{n+1}|$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let q_n be the multiplicity order of a_n . By Theorem II.8 in [11] we know that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -q_n \log |a_n| < +\infty$. Now, there clearly exists a sequence t_n of strictly positive integers satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &\leq t_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n &= +\infty, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n q_n \log(|a_n|) &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem III.5 in [11] there exists a function $g \in A$ admitting each a_n as a zero of order $s_n \geq t_n q_n$, such that $|g|(|a_n|) \leq 2 \left| \prod_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{a_n}{a_k} \right)^{t_n q_n} \right| \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently, g belongs to A .

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $k = 0, \dots, n$, let $u_{n,k} = \max(0, t_n q_k - s_k)$ and let $P_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^n (x - a_k)^{u_{n,k}}$. Clearly, all coefficients of P_n lie in D except the leading coefficient that is 1. Consequently, $\|P_n\| = 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore

$$\|P_n g\| = \|g\|. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, since the sequence t_n is increasing, we can check that for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each zero a_k of f^{t_n} is a zero of $P_n g$ of order $\geq t_k q_k$. Consequently, by Lemma I.5 in [11] in the ring A we can write $P_n g$ in the form $f^{t_n} \sigma_n$, with $\sigma_n \in A$.

By (1), we have $\|\sigma_n\| \|f^{t_n}\| = \|g\|$ hence, since $\|f\| \geq 1$, we can see that $\|\sigma_n\| \leq \|g\|$. But now, since the restriction of ψ to $\mathbb{K}[x]$ is $\|\cdot\|$, we have $\psi(P_n) = 1$, hence $\psi(P_n g) = \psi(P_n)\psi(g) = \psi(g)$ and therefore

$$\psi(g) = \psi(f^{t_n} \sigma_n) = \psi(f)^{t_n} \psi(\sigma_n) \leq \rho^{t_n} \|g\|. \quad (2)$$

Relation (2) holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho^{t_n} \|g\| = 0$. Consequently, $\psi(g) = 0$. This, ψ is not a norm. Moreover the function g we have constructed admits each zero of f as a zero of order superior or equal to its order as a zero of f . \square

Notation. We will denote by $Mult_n(A, \|\cdot\|)$ the set of continuous multiplicative norms of A .

By Theorems III.3 in [11], we now can also state Corollary I.9.1:

Corollary I.9.1. *Let $\psi \in Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a norm. If ψ is not $\|\cdot\|$, there exists a circular filter \mathcal{F} on D , of diameter $r < 1$, such that $\psi = \phi_{\mathcal{F}}$.*

On the other hand, each coroner maximal ideal is the kernel of some coroner continuous multiplicative semi-norm of A .

Concerning the Corona Problem, we may notice this:

Corollary I.9.2. *The set of norms in $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is included in the closure of $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$.*

Theorem I.10. *Let G be the set of circular filters on D of diameter $r \in]0, 1[$. For every circular filter $\mathcal{F} \in G$, $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a multiplicative norm on A and the mapping from G into $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ that associates to each $\mathcal{F} \in \Phi(0, 1)$ the norm $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a bijection from G onto the set of norms of $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|) \setminus \{\|\cdot\|\}$.*

Proof. By Corollary III.13.1 in [11], we know that for each $\mathcal{F} \in G$, if $0 < \text{diam}(\mathcal{F}) < 1$, $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ has extension to a multiplicative norm on A and the mapping G into $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ that associates to each $\mathcal{F} \in G$ the norm $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is obviously injective because its restriction to $H(D)$ is already injective.

Now, consider a multiplicative semi-norm ϕ on A which is not of the form $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ with \mathcal{F} a circular filter of diameter $r < 1$. Then, if ϕ is not $\|\cdot\|$, ϕ is coroner, therefore by Corollary I.19.1, it is not a norm. Consequently, the mapping from G into $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ that associates to each $\mathcal{F} \in G$ the norm $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a surjection from G onto the set of multiplicative norms of A other than $\|\cdot\|$. \square

Thus, Theorem I.10 lets us characterize the continuous multiplicative norms of A , which we can summarize in this way:

Corollary I.10.1. *Let $\phi \in Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be different from $\|\cdot\|$. Then ϕ is a norm if and only if it is of the form $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ with \mathcal{F} a circular filter of diameter $r \in]0, 1[$.*

II. MAXIMAL IDEAL OF INFINITE CODIMENSION

Now we will consider the question whether $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in $Mult_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$. We have to prove many intermediate results.

The following Proposition II.2 is also called Corona Statement in dimension 1. However, on a non-archimedean field, it is not at all proven to be equivalent to a property of density for maximal ideals defined by points of D inside the set $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$. We will prove Proposition II.2 in the same way as in [15]. Proposition II.1 is proven in [15] and is indispensable for further results.

Notation. Recall that we denote by U the disk $d(0, 1)$. Let \mathcal{H} be the family of ideals J of $U[x]$ such that $J \cap U \neq \{0\}$ and, given an integer $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let \mathcal{H}_s be the set of $J \in \mathcal{H}$ generated by s elements. For every ideal $J \in \mathcal{H}$ we put $t(J) = \sup\{|x| \mid x \in J \cap U\}$ and $\ell(J) = \inf\{\sup_{f \in J} |f(x)| \mid x \in V\}$ and we denote by $u(J)$ the number such that $t(J) = \ell(J)^{u(J)}$. Finally, we put $m(s) = \sup\{u(J) \mid J \in \mathcal{H}_s\}$. Henceforth, given $f_1, \dots, f_s \in H(U)$ such that $\|f_i\| < 1 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$, we set $w(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \inf\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} |f_i(x)| \mid x \in U\}$. Moreover, given $f_1, \dots, f_s \in A$ we set $\lambda(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \inf\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} |f_i(x)| \mid x \in D\}$.

Proposition II.1. *Let J be a finitely generated ideal of $U[x]$ such that $J \cap U \neq \{0\}$. Then $m(s) = 2 \forall s \geq 2$.*

In the proof of Proposition II.2, we will follow a similar way as in [15].

Proposition II.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For any $f_1, \dots, f_s \in A$ satisfying $\|f_i\| < 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) and $\lambda(f_1, \dots, f_s) > 0$. There exist $g_1, \dots, g_s \in A$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i g_i = 1$ and $\|g_i\| < \lambda(f_1, \dots, f_s)^{-2}$.*

Proof. As a first step, we will prove that for any $f_1, \dots, f_s \in H(U)$ satisfying $\|f_i\| < 1 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$ and $w(f_1, \dots, f_s) > 0$, there exist $g_1, \dots, g_s \in H(d(0, R))$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i g_i = 1$ and $\|g_i\| < (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^{-2} \forall i = 1, \dots, s$.

Since $\mathbb{K}[x]$ is dense in $H(U)$, we can find polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that $\|P_i - f_i\| \leq (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^2 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$. Since $w(f_1, \dots, f_s) > 0$, by Corollary III.3.1 in [11], there exists no maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of $H(U)$ containing the ideal generated by f_1, \dots, f_s . Consequently, there exist $g_1, \dots, g_s \in H(U)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s g_i f_i = 1$. Therefore, we can define $h_1, \dots, h_s \in H(U)$ such that $\|h_i\| \leq 1 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$ and such that $\sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i$ is an element $P_0 \in U$. Let I be the ideal of $U[x]$ generated by P_0, P_1, \dots, P_s . Since $I \cap U \neq \{0\}$, we have $0 < t(I) < \ell(I)$. Consequently, by Proposition II.1 we can find $Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in U[x]$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^s Q_i P_i$ be an element $a \in U$ satisfying $|a| \geq (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^{m(s)} = (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^2$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a^{-1} f_i + a^{-1} Q_0 \sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^s a^{-1} Q_i (f_i - P_i).$$

By construction, we have $\|\sum_{i=1}^s a^{-1} Q_i (f_i - P_i)\| < 1$ and hence $1 + \sum_{i=1}^s a^{-1} Q_i (f_i - P_i)$ is an invertible element u in $H(U)$. So, $\sum_{i=1}^s u^{-1} (a^{-1} (Q_i + Q_0 h_i)) f_i = 1$ and hence for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, we have $\|u^{-1} a^{-1} (Q_i + Q_0 h_i)\| \leq (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^{-2} \forall i = 1, \dots, s$. Thus, putting $g_i = u^{-1} (a^{-1} (Q_i + Q_0 h_i))$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ we have proven that for any $f_1, \dots, f_s \in H(U)$ satisfying $\|f_i\| < 1 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$ and $w(f_1, \dots, f_s) > 0$,

there exist $g_1, \dots, g_s \in H(U)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i g_i = 1$ and $\|g_i\| < (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^{-2}$ $\forall i = 1, \dots, s$.

Now, let us prove the conclusion of Proposition II.2. So, we take $f_1, \dots, f_s \in A$.

Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{K} such that $0 < |u_n| < |u_{n+1}| < \dots < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |u_n|^n = 1$. For each $i = 1, \dots, s$, set $f_{i,n}(x) = f_i(u_n x)$. Since each u_n belongs to D , each $f_{i,n}$ is a power series of radius $r > 1$ and hence belongs to $H(U)$. Then by the claim we have just proven, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $g_{i,n} \in H(U)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s g_{i,n} f_{i,n} = 1$ and $\|g_{i,n}\| < (w(f_{1,n}, \dots, f_{s,n}))^{-2}$. Now, each $g_{i,n}$ is a power series $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} g_{i,n,k} x^k$. Let $h_{i,n}$ be the power series $\sum_{k=0}^{2n} g_{i,n,k} (u_n)^{-k} x^k$. Then we have $\|h_{i,n}\| < |u_n|^{-2n} (w(f_1, \dots, f_s))^{-2}$ and hence $\sum_{i=1}^s h_{i,n} f_i$ is of the form $1 + x^n t_n(x)$ with $t_n \in H(U)$.

We will get to a conclusion thanks to a Banach process. Let \mathcal{E} be the Banach space of bounded sequences in \mathbb{K} provided with the classic norm $\|(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_0 = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|$ and let \mathcal{C} be the closed sub-space of converging sequences. For every sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}$, we put $\mathcal{L}((a_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n$.

Suppose first that \mathbb{K} is spherically complete. There exists a linear map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of norm 1 from \mathcal{E} to \mathbb{K} expanding \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{E} . Set $h_{i,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^k$ and let $l_{i,k}$ be the sequence $(h_{i,n,k})_{1 \leq n}$. For each pair (i, k) , we can now define $g_{i,k} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(l_{i,k})$ and put $g_i = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} g_{i,k} x^k$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is of norm 1, each sequence $g_{i,k}$ is bounded and hence g_i belongs to A . Moreover, by construction, g_i satisfies $\|g_i\| < (\lambda(f_1, \dots, f_s))^{-2} \forall i = 1, \dots, s$. Now, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s g_i f_i = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(l_{i,j}) f_{i,k-j} \right) \right) x^k \quad (1)$$

and for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(l_{i,j}) f_{i,k-j} \right) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k f_{i,j} h_{i,n,k-j} \right) \right).$$

Consequently, since $\sum_{i=1}^s h_{i,n} f_i = 1 + x^n t_n(x)$, we can check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k f_{i,j} h_{i,n,k-j} \right) \right) = 1 \text{ whenever } k = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k f_{i,j} h_{i,n,k-j} \right) \right) = 0 \text{ whenever } k \neq 0.$$

Consequently, since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ extends to \mathcal{E} the limit on \mathcal{C} , we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k f_{i,j} h_{i,n,k-j} \right) \right) = 1 \text{ whenever } k = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{j=0}^k f_{i,j} h_{i,n,k-j} \right) \right) = 0 \text{ whenever } k \neq 0.$$

Therefore by (1) we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^s g_i f_i = 1$.

Consider now the general case when \mathbb{K} is no longer supposed to be spherically complete. Consider a spherically complete algebraically closed extension $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ of

IK. Let \widehat{D} be the disk of \widehat{K} : $\{x \in \widehat{K} \mid |x| < 1\}$ and let \widehat{A} be the algebra of bounded analytic functions from \widehat{D} to \widehat{K} . Set $B = \lambda(f_1, \dots, f_s)^{-2}$. Then by what forgoes, there exist $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \widehat{A}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^s h_j f_j = 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq s} \|h_j\| < B$.

Inside \widehat{A} , let F be the closed subspace of the **IK**-Banach space generated by 1 and all coefficients of all the h_j . Let us take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon) \max_{1 \leq j \leq s} \|h_j\| \leq B$. Since F is a **IK**-Banach space of countable type, there exists a **IK**-linear map ℓ from F to **IK** satisfying $\ell(1) = 1$ with a norm $\|\cdot\|^*$ satisfying $\|\ell\|^* \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Let T be the closed subspace of \widehat{A} consisting of the power series with coefficients in F . Then T is a A -module and then we have an extension \mathcal{L} of ℓ from T to A defined as $\mathcal{L}(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k x^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ell(e_k) x^k$ which is A -linear and its norm satisfies $\|\mathcal{L}\|^* \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Now, putting $g_j = \mathcal{L}(h_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i g_i = 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq s} \|g_j\| < B$.

Notation. Let I be an ideal of A . For each $f \in A$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we set $E(f, \varepsilon) = \{x \in D \mid |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

Corollary II.2.1. *Let I be a proper ideal of A . The family $(E(f, \varepsilon), f \in I, \varepsilon > 0)$ generates a filter \mathcal{F} on D such that $I \subset \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Let $(E(f_j, \varepsilon_j), 1 \leq j \leq n)$ be such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^n E(f_j, \varepsilon_j) = \emptyset$. Let $\varepsilon = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\varepsilon_j)$ and let $F_j = D \setminus E(f_j, \varepsilon)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Then $\bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j = D$, hence by Theorem II.2, $1 \in I$, a contradiction. \square

By Lemma I.5 and Corollary II.2.1, we can derive Corollary II.2.2:

Corollary II.2.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal of A . Then there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on D such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. Moreover, if \mathcal{U} converges, \mathcal{M} is of codimension 1 and of the form $(x - a)A$, $a \in D$. If \mathcal{U} does not converge, it is coroner, \mathcal{M} is of infinite codimension and for every $f \in \mathcal{M}$, f is not quasi-invertible.*

III. DENSITY OF $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ IN $Mult_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$

Let us recall the definition of an increasing pierced filter [5].

Definition. *Let $a \in \mathbb{IK}$, let $R > 0$ and consider the filter \mathcal{G} admitting for basis the annuli $\Gamma(a, r, R)$ $r \in]0, R[$. This filter is called increasing filter of center a and diameter R . Moreover, if all F is an infraconnected subset of **IK and if every annulus $\Gamma(a, r, R)$ contain holes of F , then the filter on F induced by \mathcal{G} is said to be pierced. Next, a pierced filter is called a T -filter if its holes satisfy a certain relation [5].***

By using properties of T -filters and particularly idempotent T -sequences [14], by Lemma 35.1 and Proposition 37.1 in [5], Proposition 1.6 and [15]), we have the following proposition:

Proposition III.1. *Let $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{IN}}$ be a sequence in $|\mathbb{IK}|$ such that $0 < r_n < r_{n+1}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n = 1$, let $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{IN}}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{IN} such that $q_n \leq q_{n+1}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\right)^{q_n} = 0$. Let $l \in]0, 1[$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{IN}$, let $b_n \in C(0, (r_n)^{q_n})$, let*

$a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,q_n}$ be the q_n -th roots of b_n and let $F = D \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q_n} d(a_{n,j}, l^-) \right) \right)$. Set $f_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{q_k} \left(\frac{1-x}{1-\frac{x}{a_{k,j}}} \right)$. Then each f_n belongs to $R(F)$ and the sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $H(F)$ to an element f strictly vanishing along the pierced increasing filter of center 0 and diameter 1.

Notation. Given a unital commutative ultrametric \mathbb{K} -algebra B and $f \in B$, we denote by $sp(f)$ the spectrum of f i.e. the set of $x \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $f - x$ is not invertible in B .

Proposition III.2. *Let $(B, \| \cdot \|)$ be a unital commutative ultrametric Banach \mathbb{K} -algebra. Suppose there exist $\ell \in B$, $\phi, \psi \in \text{Mult}(B, \| \cdot \|)$ such that $\psi(\ell) < \phi(\ell)$, $sp(\ell) \cap \Gamma(0, \psi(\ell), \phi(\ell)) = \emptyset$ and there exists $\varepsilon \in]0, \phi(\ell) - \psi(\ell)[$ satisfying further $\|(\ell - a)^{-1}\| \leq M \forall a \in \Gamma(0, \psi(\ell), \phi(\ell) - \varepsilon)$. Then there exists $f \in B$ such that $\psi(f) = 1$, $\phi(f) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $s = \psi(\ell)$, $t = \phi(\ell)$, $Q = \|\ell\|$, $R = t - \varepsilon$ and $l = \frac{1}{M}$. Let $r_0 \in]s, t - \varepsilon[$. Consider the sequence $(a_{n,j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq q_n}$ defined in Proposition III.1 and the set $E = d(0, Q^-) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q_n} d(a_{n,j}, l^-) \right) \right)$. Then in $H(E)$ we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{x-b} \right\|_E \leq l \forall b \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q_n} d(a_{n,j}, l^-) \right). \quad (1)$$

There exists a natural homomorphism θ from $R(E)$ into B such that $\theta(x) = \ell$. Since $Q = \|\ell\|$ and $\|(\ell - b)^{-1}\| \leq M \forall b \in \Gamma(0, s, t)$, by Theorem I.18 in [11] and by (1) θ is clearly continuous with respect to the norms $\| \cdot \|_E$ of $R(E)$ and $\| \cdot \|$ of B . Consequently, θ has continuation to a continuous homomorphism from $H(E)$ to B .

Now, let $\psi' = \psi \circ \theta$, $\phi' = \phi \circ \theta$. Then both ϕ' , ψ' belong to $\text{Mult}(H(E), \| \cdot \|)$ and satisfy $\psi'(x) = s$, $\phi'(x) = t - \varepsilon$. So, ψ' is of the form $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ with \mathcal{F} a circular filter on E secant with $C(0, s)$ and ϕ' is of the form $\phi_{\mathcal{G}}$ with \mathcal{G} a circular filter on E secant with $C(0, t)$.

Consider now the function f constructed in Proposition III.1 which, by construction, belongs to $H(E)$ and has no zero and no pole in $d(0, s^-)$.

Consequently, $|f(x)| = |f(0)| = 1 \forall x \in d(0, s^-)$. Moreover, we have $\lim_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) = 0$, hence $\phi'(f) = 0$. Let $g = \theta(f)$. Then $\psi(g) = \psi'(f) = 1$ and $\phi(g) = \phi'(f) = 0$, which ends the proof. \square

Proposition III.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a non-principal maximal ideal of A and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter thinner than $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$ belongs to the closure of $\text{Mult}_1(A, \| \cdot \|)$ in $\text{Mult}_m(A, \| \cdot \|)$.*

Proof. Let V be neighborhood of $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\text{Mult}(A, \| \cdot \|)$. It contains some set of the form $\mathcal{V}(\phi_{\mathcal{U}}, f_1, \dots, f_q, \varepsilon)$, where $f_1, \dots, f_q \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence i.e.

$\mathcal{V}(\varphi_r, f_1, \dots, f_q, \varepsilon) = \{\phi \in \text{Mult}(A, \|\cdot\|) \mid |\varphi_r(f_j) - \phi x f_j|_\infty \leq \varepsilon, j = 1, \dots, q, q \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. For each $j = 1, \dots, q$, there exists $E_j \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\| |f_j(x)| - \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f_j) \|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \forall x \in E_j$. Let $E = \bigcap_{j=1}^q E_j$. Then

$$\| |f_j(x)| - \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f_j) \|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \forall x \in E, \forall j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Consequently, φ_a belongs to $\mathcal{V}(\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}, f_1, \dots, f_q, \varepsilon)$ for all $a \in E$. \square

Corollary III.3.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a univalent non-principal maximal ideal of A and let $\phi \in \text{Mult}_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$ satisfy $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \mathcal{M}$. Then ϕ is of the form $\phi(f) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} |f(x)|$ with \mathcal{U} a coroner ultrafilter such that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, ϕ belongs to the closure of $\text{Mult}_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ in $\text{Mult}_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$.*

Proposition III.4. *Let \mathcal{U} be a coroner ultrafilter on D , let $f \in A \setminus \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ be non-invertible in A , such that $\|f\| \leq 1$ and let $g \in A$, $h \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$ be such that $fg = 1 + h$. Let $\tau = \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$, let $\varepsilon \in]0, \tau[$ and let $\Lambda = \{x \in D \mid |f(x)g(x)| - 1|_\infty < \varepsilon, \left| |f(x)| - \tau \right|_\infty < \varepsilon\}$.*

Suppose that there exist a function $\tilde{h} \in A$ admitting for zeros in D the zeros of h in $D \setminus \Lambda$ and a function $\bar{h} \in A$ admitting for zeros the zeros of h in Λ , each counting multiplicities, so that $h = \tilde{h}\bar{h}$. Then $|\tilde{h}(x)|$ has a strictly positive lower bound in Λ and \bar{h} belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$.

Moreover, there exists $\omega \in]0, \tau[$ such that $\omega \leq \inf\{\max(|f(x)|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in D\}$. Further, for every $a \in d(0, (\tau - \varepsilon))$, we have $\omega \leq \inf\{\max(|f(x) - a|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in D\}$.

Proof. Let $u \in \Lambda$ and let s be the distance of u from $\mathbb{K} \setminus \Lambda$. So, the disk $d(u, s^-)$ is included in Λ , hence fg has no zero inside this disk. Consequently, $|f(x)g(x)|$ is a constant b in $d(u, s^-)$. Consider the family F_u of radii of circles $C(u, r)$, containing at least one zero of fg . By Theorem I.4 in [11], F_u has no cluster point different from 1. Consequently, there exists $\rho \geq s$ such that fg admits at least one zero in $C(u, \rho)$ and admits no zero in $d(u, \rho^-)$. And then $|f(x)g(x)|$ is a constant c in $d(u, \rho^-)$. But then, at u we see that $b = c$ and therefore $d(u, \rho^-)$ is included in Λ . Hence $\rho = s$ and therefore fg admits at least one zero α in $C(u, s)$. Thus, at α we have $h(\alpha) = -1$. Therefore, in the disk $d(\alpha, s^-)$ we can check that $\varphi_{\alpha, s}(h) \geq 1$. But by Theorem I.9 in [11], we have $\varphi_{\alpha, s}(h) = \varphi_{u, s}(h)$, hence $\varphi_{u, s}(h) \geq 1$.

Now,

$$\frac{\|h\|}{\varphi_{u, s}(h)} = \frac{\|\tilde{h}\|}{\varphi_{u, s}(\tilde{h})} \frac{\|\bar{h}\|}{\varphi_{u, s}(\bar{h})} \geq \frac{\|\tilde{h}\|}{\varphi_{u, s}(\tilde{h})}.$$

Therefore, since $\varphi_{u, s}(h) \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{\|\tilde{h}\|}{\varphi_{u, s}(\tilde{h})} \leq \|h\|. \quad (1)$$

But since by definition $d(u, s^-)$ is included in Λ , \tilde{h} has no zero in this disk, hence $|\tilde{h}(x)|$ is constant and equal to $\varphi_{u,s}(\tilde{h})$. Consequently, by (1) we obtain $\frac{\|\tilde{h}\|}{|\tilde{h}(u)|} \leq \|h\|$ and therefore

$$|\tilde{h}(u)| \geq \frac{\|\tilde{h}\|}{\|h\|} \quad \forall u \in \Lambda.$$

This shows that \tilde{h} does not belong to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$, hence, $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(\tilde{h}) \neq 0$. Consequently, $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(\bar{h}) = 0$.

Now, by hypothesis, we have $fg - \tilde{h}\bar{h} = 1$. Since both g, \tilde{h} belong to A and therefore are bounded in D , it is obvious that $\inf\{\max(|f(x)|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in D\} > 0$. So, we may obviously choose $\omega \in]0, \tau - \varepsilon[$ such that

$$\omega \leq \inf\{\max(|f(x)|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in D\}. \quad (2)$$

Let us now show that for every $a \in d(0, (\tau - \varepsilon))$, we have $\omega \leq \inf\{\max(|f(x) - a|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in D\}$.

Let $\Lambda' = \{x \in D \mid |f(x)| \geq \tau - \varepsilon\}$ and let $a \in d(0, (\tau - \varepsilon)^-)$. When β lies in Λ' , we have $|f(\beta)| > |a|$, hence by (2), $\max(|f(\beta) - a|, |\bar{h}(\beta)|) \geq \omega$ because by (2), either $\omega \leq |\bar{h}(\beta)|$, or $\omega \leq |f(\beta) - a|$.

Now, let β lie in $D \setminus \Lambda'$ and let t be the distance from β to Λ' . Since $D \setminus \Lambda'$ is open, t is > 0 . Consider $\varphi_{\beta,t}(f)$. Either there exists $\mu \in \Lambda'$ such that $|\beta - \mu| = t$ and then $\varphi_{\beta,t}(f) \geq |f(\mu)| \geq \tau - \varepsilon$, or there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda'$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\beta - x_n| = t$ and $|x_n - \beta| > t$. Suppose that we are in the second case: there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda'$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\beta - x_n| = t$ and $|x_n - \beta| > t$. Then the sequence is thinner than the circular filter of center β and diameter t , hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |f(x_n)| = \varphi_{\beta,t}(f)$$

hence $\varphi_{\beta,t}(f) \geq \tau - \varepsilon$ again. If f has no zero in $d(\beta, t^-)$, then $|f(x)|$ is a constant in that disk, hence of course $\varphi_{\beta,t}(f) < \tau - \varepsilon$, a contradiction. Consequently, f must have a zero γ in $d(\beta, t^-)$. Therefore, due to (2), we have $|\bar{h}(\gamma)| \geq \omega$. But since by definition, $\Lambda \subset \Lambda'$, the zeros of \bar{h} belong to Λ' . And since $d(\beta, t^-) \cap \Lambda' = \emptyset$ actually \bar{h} has no zero in $d(\beta, t^-)$. Consequently $|\bar{h}(x)|$ is constant in $d(\beta, t^-)$ and hence $|\bar{h}(\beta)| \geq \omega$, which completes the proof. \square

The following basic Proposition is easily checked and is an application of Proposition 10 in [3]:

Proposition III.5. *Let S be a set and let E be a subset. Let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter on E . Then the filter $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on S with basis \mathcal{F} is an ultrafilter inducing on E the ultrafilter \mathcal{F} .*

Corollary III.5.1. *Let S be a set and let E be subset of S . Let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter on E and let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{G}$ be the ultrafilter on S having \mathcal{F} as a basis of filter. Let f be a function defined on S with values in a compact topological space T .*

Then $\lim_{\mathcal{G}} f(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} f(x)$.

Proof. Suppose that f admits distinct limits on \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} . Then \mathcal{F} is a basis of a filter on S that is not secant with $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, a contradiction since \mathcal{F} is the ultrafilter induced by \mathcal{G} on E . \square

We can now prove Proposition III.6 in the general context of a field that is not supposed to be spherically complete:

Proposition III.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a non-principal maximal ideal of A and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on D such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. Let $f \in A \setminus \mathcal{M}$ satisfy $\|f\| < 1$, let $\tau = \Phi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ and let $\varepsilon \in]0, \tau[$. There exists $c > 0$ such that, for every $a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$, there exists $g_a \in A$ satisfying $(f - a)g_a - 1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|g_a\| \leq c$.*

Proof. Suppose first that f is invertible in A . By Theorem I.4 in [11], $|f(x)|$ is a constant and hence is equal to τ . Therefore, $|f(x) - a| = \tau \forall a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$. Consequently, $f - a$ is invertible and its inverse g_a satisfies $\|g_a\| = \tau^{-1}$. Thus, we only have to show the claim when f is not invertible. Since f does not belong to \mathcal{M} , we can find $g \in A$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $fg = 1 + h$ with $h \in \mathcal{M}$.

We know that there exists an algebraically closed spherically complete extension $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ of \mathbb{K} (Theorems 7.4 and 7.6 in [11]). Let \widehat{D} be the disk $\{x \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}} \mid |x| < 1\}$. Let \widehat{A} be the algebra of bounded power series converging in \widehat{D} with coefficients in $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$. $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ makes a basis of a filter $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ on \widehat{D} and by definition, \mathcal{U} is the filter induced by $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ on D . By Corollary III.5.1 $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ is an ultrafilter on \widehat{D} . Consider now f as an element of \widehat{A} . Then $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ defines an element ψ of $Mult(\widehat{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ as $\psi(\ell) = \lim_{\widehat{\mathcal{U}}} |\ell(x)|, \forall \ell \in \widehat{A}$. Consequently, by Corollary III.5.1 τ is equal to $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} |f(x)|$. Let

$$\Lambda = \{x \in \widehat{D} \mid \| |f(x)g(x)| - 1 \|_{\infty} < \varepsilon, \| |f(x)| - \tau \|_{\infty} < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ is spherically complete, by Proposition I.7. we can factorize h in the form $\widetilde{h}\bar{h}$ where $\widetilde{h} \in \widehat{A}$ is a function admitting for zeros in \widehat{D} the zeros of h in $\widehat{D} \setminus \Lambda$ and $\bar{h} \in \widehat{A}$ is a function admitting for zeros the zeros of h in Λ , each counting multiplicities. Moreover, we can choose \bar{h} so that $\|\bar{h}\| < 1$. Now, in the field $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$, by Proposition III.4, there exists $\omega > 0$ such that for every $a \in \widehat{d}(0, (\tau - \varepsilon))$, we have $\omega \leq \inf\{\max(|f(x) - a|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in \widehat{D}\}$. This implies that $\inf\{\max(|f(x) - a|, |\bar{h}(x)|) \mid x \in D\} \geq \omega \forall a \in \widehat{d}(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$. We notice that $\|f - a\| < 1$ for every $a \in \widehat{d}(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$, so we may apply Proposition II.2 and obtain a bound b only depending on f and h and functions $\ell_a, h_a \in \widehat{A}$ such that $(f - a)\ell_a + \bar{h}h_a = 1$, with

$$\|\ell_a\| < b, \|h_a\| < b \forall a \in \widehat{d}(0, \tau - \varepsilon). \quad (1)$$

By hypothesis we have $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} h(x) = 0$. Hence by Corollary III.5.1, on \widehat{D} we have $\lim_{\widehat{\mathcal{U}}} h(x) = 0$. Then, by Corollary III.5.1 we have $\lim_{\widehat{\mathcal{U}}} \bar{h}(x) = 0$ hence, on D ,

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \bar{h}h_a(x) = 0 \forall a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon). \quad (2)$$

Now, let us fix $a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$. Let G be the closed \mathbb{K} -vector subspace of $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ (considered as a \mathbb{K} -Banach space), linearly generated over \mathbb{K} by 1 and all coefficients of ℓ_a . Take $\eta > 0$ such that $(1 + \eta)\max(\|\ell_a\|, \|h_a\|) \leq b$. We notice that G

is a \mathbb{K} -Banach space of countable type, hence there exists a \mathbb{K} -linear mapping Ξ from G to \mathbb{K} of norm $\leq 1 + \eta$, such that $\Xi(1) = 1$ [15]. Let F be the closed \mathbb{K} -vector subspace of \widehat{A} consisting of all power series with coefficients in E . Then F is a A -module and Ξ has continuation to a A -linear mapping $\widehat{\Xi}$ from F to A defined as $\widehat{\Xi}(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Xi(b_n) x^n$. This mapping $\widehat{\Xi}$ has a norm bounded by $1 + \eta$. Set $g_a = \widehat{\Xi}(\ell_a)$. Then by (1) we have

$$\|g_a\| \leq b(1 + \eta) \quad \forall a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon). \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, by construction, for every $z \in G$, we have $|\widehat{\Xi}(z)| \leq |z|(1 + \eta)$: that holds particularly for elements of $G \cap D$. Now, since $(f - a)(l_a) - \bar{h}h_a = 1$, for all $x \in D$, we have $l_a(x) \in G$, $f(x) - a \in K$ and hence $\bar{h}h_a(x)$ belongs to G . Therefore the inequality applies and shows that $|\widehat{\Xi}(\bar{h}h_a)(x)| \leq |(\bar{h}h_a)(x)|(1 + \eta)$, hence by (2) we can derive $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \widehat{\Xi}(\bar{h}h_a)(x) = 0 \quad \forall a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$. But since $\widehat{\Xi}$ is a A -module linear mapping, we have $\widehat{\Xi}((f - a)h_a - 1) = (f - a)g_a - 1$. Consequently, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} |(f(x) - a)g_a(x) - 1| = 0 \quad \forall a \in d(0, \tau - \varepsilon)$ and hence $(f - a)g_a - 1$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. Putting $c = b(1 + \eta)$, by (3) we are done. \square

Theorem III.7. *A is multibjective.*

Proof. Suppose A is not multibjective and let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal which is not univalent. Let F be the quotient field $\frac{A}{\mathcal{M}}$, let θ be the canonical surjection from A onto F and let $\|\cdot\|_q$ be the \mathbb{K} -Banach algebra quotient norm of F . By Corollary II.2.2 there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on D such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U})$. Thus, there exists $\psi \in \text{Mult}(A, \|\cdot\|)$ such that $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \mathcal{M}$ and $\psi \neq \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$. Consequently, there exists $f \in A$ such that $\psi(f) \neq \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$, with $\psi(f) \neq 0$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f) \neq 0$. We shall check that we may also assume $\psi(f) < \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$. Indeed, suppose $\psi(f) > \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$. Let $g \in A$ be such that $\theta(g) = \theta(f)^{-1}$. Then we can see that $\psi(g) = \psi(f)^{-1}$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(g) = (\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f))^{-1}$, therefore $\psi(g) < \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(g)$. Thus, we may assume $\psi(f) < \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ without loss of generality. Similarly, we may obviously assume that $\|f\| < 1$.

By construction, $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ factorizes in the form $\phi_1 \circ \theta$ and similarly, ψ factorizes in the form $\phi_2 \circ \theta$ with $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Mult}(F, \|\cdot\|_q)$. So, on F we have $\phi_1(\theta(f)) > \phi_2(\theta(f))$.

Let $\sigma = \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ and let $\varepsilon \in]0, \sigma[$. By Proposition III.6, there exists $c > 0$ such that, for every $a \in d(0, \sigma - \varepsilon)$, there exists $g_a \in A$ satisfying $(f - a)g_a - 1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|g_a\| \leq c$. Now, $\theta(g_a) = (\theta(f - a))^{-1}$. Thus, $\|(\theta(f - a))^{-1}\|_q \leq c \quad \forall a \in d(0, \sigma - \varepsilon)$. Therefore, by applying Proposition III.2 to the Banach \mathbb{K} -algebra F , we can see that there exists $y \in F$ such that $\phi_1(y) = 1, \phi_2(y) = 0$. Therefore, taking $g \in A$ such that $\theta(g) = y$, we get $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(g) = 0$, $\psi(g) = 1$, a contradiction to the hypothesis $\text{Ker}(\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}) = \text{Ker}(\psi)$. This finishes showing that A is multibjective. \square

Corollary III.7.1. *For every $\phi \in \text{Mult}_m(A, \|\cdot\|) \setminus \text{Mult}_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$, there exists a coroner ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $\phi(f) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} |f(x)| \quad \forall f \in A$.*

Corollary III.7.2. *$\text{Mult}_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in $\text{Mult}_m(A, \|\cdot\|)$.*

Corollary III.7.2 together with Theorem III.7 in [11] obviously suggests the following conjecture:

Conjecture. $Mult_1(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in $Mult(A, \|\cdot\|)$.

Acknowledgement. I am very grateful to Bertin Diarra for many advises and to Jesus Araujo for letting me know his nice proof of Theorem III.7 in [11]

REFERENCES

- [1] Araujo J. *Nonmaximal ideals and the Berkovich space of the algebra of bounded analytic functions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 455, Issue 1, 1, p. 221-245 (2017).
- [2] Berkovich, V. *Spectral Theory and Analytic Geometry over Non-archimedean Fields*. AMS Surveys and Monographs 33, (1990).
- [3] Bourbaki, N. *Topologie générale, Ch. I, Actualités scientifiques et industrielles*, Hermann, Paris (1981).
- [4] Carleson, L. *Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*. Annals of Math. 76, p. 547-559 (1962).
- [5] Escassut, A. *Analytic Elements in p-adic Analysis*, World Scientific Publishing Inc., Singapore (1995).
- [6] Escassut, A. *Spectre maximal d'une algèbre de Krasner*. Colloquium Mathematicum (Wrocław) XXXVIII2, pp 339-357 (1978).
- [7] Escassut, A. *Ultrametric Banach Algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Inc., Singapore (2003).
- [8] Escassut, A. and Mainetti, N. *About the ultrametric Corona problem* Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques 132, p. 382-394 (2008)
- [9] Escassut, A. *Ultrametric Corona problem and spherically complete fields*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, (Series 2), Volume 53, Issue 02, pp 353-371 (2010).
- [10] Escassut, A. *Value Distribution in p-adic Analysis* World Scientific Publishing Inc., Singapore (2015).
- [11] Escassut, A. *Survey on the Kakutani problem in p-adic analysis I* The Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics Vol. 15 (28), No.2 (2019), 245-263..
- [12] Guennebaud, B. *Sur une notion de spectre pour les algèbres normées ultramétriques*, thèse Université de Poitiers, (1973).
- [13] Krasner, M. *Prolongement analytique uniforme et multiforme dans les corps valués complets. Les tendances géométriques en algèbre et théorie des nombres*, Clermont-Ferrand, p.94-141 (1964). Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (1966), (Colloques internationaux du C.N.R.S. Paris, 143).
- [14] Sarmant, M.-C. and Escassut, A. *T-suites idempotentes*, Bull.Sci.Math.106, p.289-303,(1982).
- [15] Van Der Put, M. *The Non-Archimedean Corona Problem* Table Ronde Anal. non Archimédienne, Bull.Soc.Math.Mémoire 39-40, p. 287-317 (1974).

(Received: October 24, 2018)
(Revised: September 21, 2019)

Alain Escassut
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Blaise Pascal UMR 6620
Université Clermont Auvergne
(Clermont-Ferrand)
Les Cézeaux
24 Avenue des Landais
63178 AUBIERE CEDEX. FRANCE
e-mail Alain.Escassut@uca.fr