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Abstract. In this paper we investigate the global stability character of the rational system in the title with the parameters \( B_1, B_2, A_2 + C_2 \) positive, the parameters \( A_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, A_2, C_2 \) nonnegative, and with arbitrary nonnegative initial conditions such that the denominators are always positive.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper we investigate the global stability character of the rational system

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + B_1 x_n + y_n} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x_n}{A_2 + B_2 x_n + C_2 y_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \]

with

\[ B_1, B_2, A_2 + C_2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, A_2, C_2 \geq 0 \]

and with arbitrary nonnegative initial conditions \( x_0 \) and \( y_0 \) such that the denominators are always positive.

In the notation that was introduced in [12], System (1.1) contains the following 18 special cases:

\(#(12,11): \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_1 \frac{x_n}{x_n + y_n}, \quad y_{n+1} = \alpha_2 \frac{x_n}{1 + x_n} \#(37,11): \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_1 \frac{x_n}{A_1 + x_n + y_n}, \quad y_{n+1} = \alpha_2 \frac{x_n}{1 + x_n} \)
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Conjecture 1.1. Every solution of System (1.1) converges to a (not necessarily prime) period-two solution.
following 9 special cases:

\((12, 11), (12, 17), (12, 32), (12, 37), (37, 11),
(37, 12), (37, 17), (37, 32), (37, 37)\).

Conjecture 1.1 has not yet been confirmed (or refuted) for the following 7 special cases:

\((12, 33), (12, 39), (12, 44), (37, 18),
(37, 33), (37, 39), (37, 44)\).

In our investigation the following theorems will play a crucial role.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([24] or [26]) Assume that \(p\) and \(q\) are real numbers. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for both roots of the equation

\[\lambda^2 + p\lambda + q = 0\]

to lie inside the unit circle is

\[|p| < 1 + q < 2.\]

**Theorem 1.2.** (Amleh, Camouzis, and Ladas, [1]) Let \(I\) be a set of real numbers and let

\[F : I \times I \to I\]

be a function \(F(u, v)\) which increases in both variables. Then for every solution \(\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}\) of equation

\[x_{n+1} = F(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots\]

the subsequences \(\{x_{2n}\}\) and \(\{x_{2n+1}\}\) of even and odd terms of the solution do exactly one of the following:

i) Eventually they are both monotonically increasing.

ii) Eventually they are both monotonically decreasing.

iii) One of them is monotonically increasing and the other is monotonically decreasing.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Camouzis and Ladas, [13]) Let \(I\) be a set of real numbers and let

\[F : I \times I \to I\]

be a function \(F(u, v)\) which decreases in the first and increases in the second variable. Then for every solution \(\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}\) of equation

\[x_{n+1} = F(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots\]

the subsequences \(\{x_{2n}\}\) and \(\{x_{2n+1}\}\) of even and odd terms of the solution do exactly one of the following:

i) They are both monotonically increasing.

ii) They are both monotonically decreasing.
iii) Eventually, one of them is monotonically increasing and the other is monotonically decreasing.

The next theorem is a new global stability result which we employed to establish the global stability character of Systems $(12, 17)$, $(37, 17)$, $(12, 32)$, and $(37, 32)$.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let

\[ x_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

with

1. \( f \in C[(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty), (0, \infty)] \).
2. \( f(x, y) \) is decreasing in \( x \) and \( y \).
3. \( xf(x, x) \) is increasing in \( x \).
4. Equation (1.2) has a unique positive equilibrium point \( \bar{x} \).

Then every positive solution \( \{x_n\}^\infty_{n=-1} \) of Eq. (1.2) which is bounded from above and from below by positive constants converges to \( \bar{x} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_n\}^\infty_{n=-1} \) be a positive solution of Eq. (1.2) which is bounded from above and from below by positive constants. Therefore we have that

\[ I = \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} x_n \quad \text{and} \quad S = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} x_n \]

both exist and are finite positive numbers.

We show that \( I = S \). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that \( I < S \). For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( N = N(\varepsilon) \) such that for all \( n \geq N \)

\[ I - \varepsilon < x_n < S + \varepsilon. \]

Therefore we have

\[ x_{n+1} < f(I - \varepsilon, I - \varepsilon), \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq N \]

and

\[ x_{n+1} > f(S + \varepsilon, S + \varepsilon), \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq N. \]

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary the previous two inequalities become respectively

\[ S \leq f(I, I) \] \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

and

\[ I \geq f(S, S). \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

From (1.3) and (1.4) we have

\[ Sf(S, S) \leq SI \leq If(I, I) \Rightarrow Sf(S, S) \leq If(I, I) \Leftrightarrow S \leq I \]

which is a contradiction. \( \square \)
Theorem 1.5. (Kulenovic, Ladas, and Sizer, [13] or [27]) Let $[a, b]$ be a closed and bounded interval of real numbers and let $F \in C([a, b]^{k+1}, [a, b])$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. $F(z_1, \ldots, z_{k+1})$ is monotonic in each of its arguments.
2. For each $m, M \in [a, b]$ and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$, we define
   
   $M_i(m, M) = \begin{cases} M & \text{if } F \text{ is increasing in } z_i \\ m & \text{if } F \text{ is decreasing in } z_i \end{cases}$

and

$m_i(m, M) = M_i(M, m)$

and we assume that if $(m, M)$ is a solution of the system:

$M = F(M_1(m, M), \ldots, M_{k+1}(m, M))$

$m = F(m_1(m, M), \ldots, m_{k+1}(m, M))$

then $M = m$.

Then the difference equation

$y_{n+1} = F(y_n, y_{n-1}, \ldots, y_{n-k}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots$ (1.5)

has a unique equilibrium point $\bar{y} \in [a, b]$ and every solution of Eq. (1.5), with initial conditions in $[a, b]$, converges to $\bar{y}$.

For further reading on difference equations and systems of difference equations see [2], [4]-[8], [10], [11], [13], [16]-[26], [25], [28]-[36], [38].

2. Systems #(12, 11) and #(37, 11)

Consider the system

$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + x_n + y_n}$ and $y_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots$ (2.1)

with

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ and $A_1 \geq 0$.

When

$A_1 = 0$

System (2.1) is System #(12, 11) and when

$A_1 > 0$

System (2.1) is System #(37, 11).

For System (2.1) we show that every solution has a finite limit.

System (2.1) has a unique equilibrium point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, and $\bar{x}$ is the unique positive real root of the cubic equation

$\bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\bar{x} - \alpha_1 = 0$. 
The characteristic equation of the linearized system of System (2.1) about \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is
\[
\lambda^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} \lambda - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{(1 + \bar{x})^2 (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} = 0.
\]

According to Theorem 1.1 a necessary and sufficient condition for both roots of the above equation to lie inside the unit circle is
\[
\frac{\alpha_1}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 1 - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{(1 + \bar{x})^2 (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 2. \tag{2.2}
\]
The right-hand side inequality of condition (2.2) is trivially true. For the left-hand side inequality of condition (2.2), using the equilibrium equations \(\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}}\) and \(\bar{y} = \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + \bar{x}}\), we have
\[
\alpha_1 (1 + \bar{x})^2 < (1 + \bar{x})^2 (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2
\]
\[
\iff \bar{x} (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}) (1 + \bar{x}) < (1 + \bar{x})^2 (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 - \bar{x} (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}) \bar{y} (1 + \bar{x})
\]
\[
\iff \bar{x} (1 + \bar{x}) < (1 + \bar{x}) (A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}) - \bar{x} \bar{y}
\]
\[
\iff A_1 (1 + \bar{x}) + \bar{y} > 0
\]
which is true. It follows that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is locally asymptotically stable for all values of the parameters \(\alpha_1, A_1,\) and \(\alpha_2\).

The boundedness character of System (2.1) is described by the following lemma. For its proof see [9] or [14].

**Lemma 2.1.** Both components \(\{x_n\}\) and \(\{y_n\}\) of System (2.1) are bounded from above and from below by positive constants.

By substituting the value of \(y_n\) from the second equation of the system into the first we first see that the component \(\{x_n\}\) satisfies the second order rational difference equation
\[
x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + x_n + \frac{\alpha_2}{1 + x_{n-1}}} = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 x_{n-1}}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + x_n + A_1 x_{n-1} + x_n x_{n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{2.3}
\]
The function
\[
f(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 y}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + x + A_1 y + xy}
\]
associated with Eq. (2.3) is decreasing in the first and increasing in the second argument. Then by employing Theorem 1.3 it follows that the subsequences \(\{x_{2n}\}\) and \(\{x_{2n+1}\}\) both converge to \(\bar{x}\), since Eq. (2.3) has no prime period-two solutions.
To establish that Eq. (2.3) has no prime period-two solutions we assume, for the sake of contradiction, that

\[ \ldots \phi, \psi, \phi, \psi, \ldots \]

is a prime period-two solution of Eq. (2.3). Then

\[ \phi = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 \phi}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + \psi + A_1 \phi + \phi \psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 \psi}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + \phi + A_1 \psi + \phi \psi} \]

and so

\[ \alpha_1 + \alpha_1 \phi = (A_1 + \alpha_2)\phi + \phi \psi + A_1 \phi^2 + \phi^2 \psi \quad \text{(2.4)} \]

and

\[ \alpha_1 + \alpha_1 \psi = (A_1 + \alpha_2)\psi + \phi \psi + A_1 \psi^2 + \psi^2 \phi. \quad \text{(2.5)} \]

By subtracting (2.5) from (2.4) and then by dividing the result by \( \phi - \psi \) we obtain

\[ \alpha_1 = A_1 + \alpha_2 + A_1 (\phi + \psi) + \phi \psi. \quad \text{(2.6)} \]

By substituting the value of \( \alpha_1 \) from (2.6) into (2.4) we obtain

\[ A_1 + \alpha_2 + A_1 \phi + A_1 \psi + A_1 \phi \psi = 0 \]

which is a contradiction.

According to the preceding discussion the next result follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** The unique equilibrium point of System (2.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

For an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1 see Section 6.

3. Systems \#(12, 17) and \#(37, 17)

Consider the system

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + x_n + y_n} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{A_2 + x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \quad \text{(3.1)} \]

with

\[ \alpha_1, A_2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \geq 0. \]

When

\[ A_1 = 0 \]

System (3.1) is System \#(12, 17) and when

\[ A_1 > 0 \]

System (3.1) is System \#(37, 17).

For System (3.1) we show that every solution has a finite limit.

System (3.1) has a unique equilibrium point \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), and \(\bar{x}\) is the unique positive real root of the cubic equation

\[ \bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + A_2 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 A_2 - \alpha_1)\bar{x} - \alpha_1 A_2 = 0. \]
The characteristic equation of the linearized system of System (3.1) about 
\((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is
\[\lambda^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})} \lambda + \frac{\alpha_1 A_2}{(A_2 + \bar{x})^2(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} = 0.\]

According to Theorem 1.1 a necessary and sufficient condition for both
roots of the above equation to lie inside the unit circle is
\[\frac{\alpha_1}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 1 + \frac{\alpha_1 A_2}{(A_2 + \bar{x})^2(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 2. \tag{3.2}\]

By using the equilibrium equations
\[\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}} \tag{3.3}\]
and
\[\bar{y} = \frac{\bar{x}}{A_2 + \bar{x}} \tag{3.4}\]
condition (3.2) can be written as
\[\frac{\bar{x}^2}{\alpha_1} < 1 + \frac{A_2 \bar{y}^2}{\alpha_1} < 2. \tag{3.5}\]

From (3.3) we have
\[\bar{x}^2 = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\bar{x}^2} \Rightarrow \bar{x}^4 < \alpha_1^2\]
\[\Rightarrow \bar{x}^2 < \alpha_1 < A_2 \bar{y}^2 \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x}^2 < 1 + \frac{A_2}{\alpha_1} \bar{y}^2,
\end{array}\right.\]
and so the left-hand side inequality of (3.5) holds. Using now both (3.3) and
(3.4) we have
\[\bar{x}^2 = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} \Rightarrow \bar{y}^2 \left(\frac{A_2 + \bar{x}}{A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2}\]
\[\Rightarrow \bar{y}^2 = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{(A_2 + \bar{x})^2(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < \frac{\alpha_1^2}{A_2^2 \bar{y}^2}\]
\[\Rightarrow A_2^2 \bar{y}^4 < \alpha_1 \bar{y}^2 < 1,
\]
and so the right-hand side inequality of (3.5) holds. It follows that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is
locally asymptotically stable for all values of the parameters \(\alpha_1, A_1,\) and \(A_2.\)

The boundedness character of System (3.1) is described by the following
lemma. For its proof see [9] or [14].

**Lemma 3.1.** Both components \(\{x_n\}\) and \(\{y_n\}\) of System (3.1) are bounded
from above and from below by positive constants.
By substituting the value of \( y_n \) from the second equation of the system into the first we see that the component \( \{x_n\} \) satisfies the second order rational difference equation
\[
x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + x_n + \frac{x_{n-1}}{A_2 + x_{n-1}}} = \frac{\alpha_1 A_2 + \alpha_1 x_{n-1}}{A_1 A_2 + A_2 x_n + (1 + A_1)x_{n-1} + x_n x_{n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (3.6)
\]

The function
\[
f(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_1 A_2 + \alpha_1 y}{A_1 A_2 + A_2 x + (1 + A_1)y + xy}
\]
associated with Eq. (3.6) is decreasing in both variables and the function \( xf(x, x) \) is increasing. Therefore, in view of Lemma 3.1 and by Theorem 1.4 it follows, that every positive solution of Eq. (3.6) converges to \( \bar{x} \). From this and the second equation of the system the next theorem follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** The unique equilibrium point of System (3.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

4. Systems \#(12,32) and \#(37,32)

Consider the system
\[
x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + x_n + y_n} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x_n}{1 + x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \quad (4.1)
\]
with
\[
\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \geq 0.
\]

When
\[
A_1 = 0
\]
System (4.1) is System \#(12,32) and when
\[
A_1 > 0
\]
System (4.1) is System \#(37,32).

For System (4.1) we show that every solution has a finite limit.

When \( \alpha_2 = \beta_2 \), System (4.1) reduces to
\[
x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + x_n} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \alpha_2, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]
for which every solution converges to a finite number. In the sequel, we consider the case where \( \alpha_2 \neq \beta_2 \).

System (4.1) has a unique equilibrium point \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), and \( \bar{x} \) is the unique positive real root of the cubic equation
\[
\bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + \beta_2 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\bar{x} - \alpha_1 = 0. \quad (4.2)
\]
The characteristic equation of the linearized system of System (4.1) about \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is
\[
\lambda^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} \lambda + \frac{(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\alpha_1}{(1 + \bar{x})^2(1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} = 0.
\]

According to Theorem 1.1 a necessary and sufficient condition for both roots of the above equation to lie inside the unit circle is
\[
\frac{\alpha_1}{(A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 1 + \frac{\alpha_1(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)}{(1 + \bar{x})^2(1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 2. \tag{4.3}
\]

By using the equilibrium equations
\[
\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + \bar{x} + \bar{y}} \tag{4.4}
\]
and
\[
\bar{y} = \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \bar{x}}{1 + \bar{x}} \tag{4.5}
\]
condition (4.3) can be written as
\[
\frac{\bar{x}^2}{\alpha_1} < 1 + \frac{(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\bar{x}^2}{\alpha_1(1 + \bar{x})^2} < 2. \tag{4.6}
\]

For the left-hand side inequality of (4.6) we have
\[
\bar{x}^2(1 + \bar{x})^2 < \alpha_1(1 + \bar{x})^2 + (\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\bar{x}^2
\]
\[
\iff \bar{x}^2(1 + \bar{x})^2 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_1 \bar{x}^2 + 2\alpha_1 \bar{x} + (\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\bar{x}^2
\]
and then by using the value of \(\alpha_1\) from (4.2) we have
\[
\bar{x}^2(1 + \bar{x})^2 < \bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + \beta_2 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\bar{x} + \alpha_1 \bar{x}^2 + 2\alpha_1 \bar{x} + \beta_2 \bar{x}^2 - \alpha_2 \bar{x}^2
\]
\[
\iff \bar{x}^3 + \bar{x}^2 < A_1 \bar{x} + 2\beta_2 \bar{x} + A_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \bar{x} + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \bar{x}.
\]
Then by using again the value of \(\alpha_1\) from (4.2) the above inequality can be written as
\[
\bar{x}^3 + \bar{x}^2 < A_1 \bar{x} + 2\beta_2 \bar{x} + A_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \bar{x} + \bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + \beta_2 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\bar{x} - \alpha_2 \bar{x}
\]
\[
\iff 2(A_1 + \beta_2)\bar{x} + A_1 + \alpha_2 + (A_1 + \beta_2)\bar{x}^2 > 0
\]
which is true. For the right-hand side inequality of (4.6) we have
\[
(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\bar{x}^2 < \alpha_1(1 + \bar{x})^2 \iff (\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\bar{x}^2 < \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_1 \bar{x} + \alpha_1 \bar{x}^2
\]
and then by using the value of \(\alpha_1\) from (4.2) we have
\[
(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)\bar{x}^2 < \bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + \beta_2 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\bar{x} + 2\alpha_1 \bar{x} + \alpha_1 \bar{x}^2
\]
\[
\iff -\alpha_2 \bar{x}^2 < \bar{x}^3 + (A_1 + \alpha_1 + 1)\bar{x}^2 + (A_1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\bar{x}
\]
which is true. It follows that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is locally asymptotically stable for all values of the parameters \(\alpha_1, A_1, \alpha_2, \) and \(\beta_2\).
The boundedness character of System (4.1) is described by the following lemma. For its proof see [9].

**Lemma 4.1.** Both components \{x_n\} and \{y_n\} of System (4.1) are bounded from above and from below by positive constants.

By substituting the value of \(y_n\) from the second equation of the system into the first we see that the component \{x_n\} satisfies the second order rational difference equation

\[
x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + x_n + \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x_{n-1}}{1 + x_{n-1}}} \\
= \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 x_{n-1}}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + x_n + (A_1 + \beta_2)x_{n-1} + x_n x_{n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots .
\] (4.7)

The function

\[
f(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 y}{A_1 + \alpha_2 + x + (A_1 + \beta_2)y + xy}
\]

associated with Eq. (4.7) is decreasing in both variables when

\[
\alpha_2 < \beta_2
\] (4.8)

and is decreasing in the first and increasing in the second argument when

\[
\alpha_2 > \beta_2.
\] (4.9)

When (4.8) holds, and because the function \(xf(x, x)\) is increasing, by employing Theorem 1.4 it follows, that every solution of Eq. (4.7) converges to \(\bar{x}\). Alternatively, when (4.8) holds we can arrive at the same result by employing Theorem 1.5 to the Eq. (4.7) in the interval \([0, \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + \alpha_2}]\).

When (4.9) holds, by employing Theorem 1.3 it follows that the subsequences \{x_{2n}\} and \{x_{2n+1}\} both converge to \(\bar{x}\), since Eq. (4.7) has no prime period-two solutions. The proof that Eq. (4.7) has no prime period-two solutions is similar to the proof of the fact that Eq. (2.3) has no prime period-two solutions and will be omitted.

From the preceding discussion the next result follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** The unique equilibrium point of System (4.1) is globally asymptotically stable.

5. System #(12,37)

Consider the system

\[
x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha}{x_n + y_n} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{A + Bx_n + y_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots .
\] (5.1)

For this system we show that every solution has a finite limit.
The equilibrium points of System (5.1) are the points \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in (0, \infty)^2\) such that:

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha}{\bar{x} + \bar{y}} \tag{5.2}
\]

and

\[
\bar{y} = \frac{1}{A + B\bar{x} + \bar{y}}. \tag{5.3}
\]

From (5.2) we have

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha}{\bar{x} + \bar{y}} \Rightarrow \bar{x}^2 + \bar{x}\bar{y} = \alpha \Rightarrow \bar{y} = \frac{\alpha - \bar{x}^2}{\bar{x}} > 0, \tag{5.4}
\]

from which it follows that

\[
\bar{x} < \sqrt{\alpha}. \tag{5.5}
\]

Furthermore, from (5.3) we have

\[
\bar{y} = \frac{1}{A + B\bar{x} + \bar{y}} < \frac{1}{\bar{y}}
\]

from which it follows that

\[
\bar{y} < 1. \tag{5.6}
\]

By substituting the value of \(\bar{y}\) from (5.4) into (5.3) we see that the component \(\bar{x}\) satisfies the following quartic polynomial:

\[
h(\bar{x}) = (1 - B)\bar{x}^4 - A\bar{x}^3 + (B\alpha - 2\alpha - 1)\bar{x}^2 + A\alpha\bar{x} + \alpha^2 = 0. \tag{5.7}
\]

When \(B \geq 1\) according to the Descartes Rule of Signs the above quartic polynomial has a unique positive real root. When \(B < 1\) the coefficients of the above polynomial change sign twice and therefore has two or none positive real roots. But \(h(0) = \alpha^2 > 0\) and \(h(\sqrt{\alpha}) = -\alpha < 0\) which means that the equation \(h(\bar{x}) = 0\) has a unique positive real root less than \(\sqrt{\alpha}\). Hence, System (5.1) has a unique equilibrium point \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\).

The characteristic equation of the linearized system of System (5.1) about \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is

\[
\lambda^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} + \frac{1}{(A + B\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2}\right)\lambda + \frac{\alpha - \alpha B}{(\bar{x} + \bar{y})(A + B\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} = 0.
\]

According to Theorem 1.1 a necessary and sufficient condition for both roots of the above equation to lie inside the unit circle is

\[
\frac{\alpha}{(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} + \frac{1}{(A + B\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 1 + \frac{\alpha - \alpha B}{(\bar{x} + \bar{y})(A + B\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2} < 2. \tag{5.8}
\]

By using (5.2), (5.3), and (5.4) condition (5.8) can be written as

\[
\frac{\bar{x}^2}{\alpha} + \frac{(\alpha - \bar{x}^2)^2}{\alpha} < 1 + \frac{(1 - B)\bar{x}^2\bar{y}^2}{\alpha} < 2. \tag{5.9}
\]
For the left-hand side inequality of (5.9), using again (5.4), we have
\[
\frac{x^2}{\alpha} + \frac{(\alpha - x^2)^2}{x^2} < 1 + \frac{(1 - B)}{\alpha}(\alpha - x^2)^2
\]
\[
x^4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha x^4 - 2\alpha^2 x^2 < \alpha x^2 + \alpha^2 x^2 + (1 - B)x^4 + 2\alpha x^4 - 2\alpha x^4 - B\alpha^2 x^2 + 2\alpha B x^4
\]
which by substituting the value of \((1 - B)x^4\) from (5.7) into it can be written as
\[
\alpha^3 + \alpha[(B\alpha - 2\alpha - 1)x^2 + (1 - B)x^4] < A\alpha x^5 - A\alpha x^3.
\]
Then by substituting the value of \([(B\alpha - 2\alpha - 1)x^2 + (1 - B)x^4]\) from (5.7) into the above inequality we obtain
\[
\alpha x^3 - \alpha^2 x < x^5 - \alpha x^3 \Leftrightarrow \alpha x^3 - \alpha^2 < x^4 - \alpha x^2 \Leftrightarrow x^2 - \alpha^2 > 0
\]
which is true. For the right-hand side inequality of (5.9) we have
\[
(1 - B)x^2 y^2 < \alpha
\]
which clearly holds when \(B \geq 1\). Next we assume that \(B < 1\). From (5.5) and (5.6) it follows that
\[
x^2 y^2 < \alpha \Rightarrow (1 - B)x^2 y^2 < \alpha(1 - B) < \alpha.
\]
It follows that \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) is locally asymptotically stable for all values of the parameters \(\alpha\), \(A\), and \(B\).

The boundedness character of System (5.1) is described by the following lemma. For its proof see [14].

**Lemma 5.1.** Both components \(\{x_n\}\) and \(\{y_n\}\) of System \#(12, 37) are bounded from above and from below by positive constants.

In view of Lemma 5.1 we have that
\[
I_x = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n, \quad S_x = \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n
\]
and
\[
I_y = \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n, \quad S_y = \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n
\]
all exist and are finite positive numbers. For the proof of the following result, see Section 6.

**Theorem 5.1.** The unique equilibrium point of System (5.1) is globally asymptotically stable.
Consider the system
\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + B_1x_n + C_1y_n} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_2}{A_2 + B_2x_n + C_2y_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \] (6.1)

System (6.1) was first studied by Camouzis and Ladas in [14] where they showed that every solution converges to a not necessarily prime period two solution. We actually show here that every solution converges to a finite limit.

Clearly, both components are bounded from above and from below by positive constants. Therefore,
\[ I_x = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n, \quad S_x = \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \]
and
\[ I_y = \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n, \quad S_y = \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n \]
all exist and are finite positive numbers.

Here, the functions
\[ f(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + B_1x + C_1y} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_2}{A_2 + B_2x + C_2y} \]
associated with System (6.1) decrease in their variables.

**Theorem 6.1.** Every solution of System (6.1) converges to a finite limit.

**Proof.** For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon) \) and \( N_2 = N_2(\varepsilon) \) such that
\[ I_x - \varepsilon < x_n < S_x + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq N_1 \] (6.2)
and
\[ I_y - \varepsilon < y_n < S_y + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq N_2. \] (6.3)

In view of the monotonic character of \( f \) we have
\[ x_{n+1} = f(x_n, y_n) < f(I_x - \varepsilon, I_y - \varepsilon), \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq N \]
where \( N = \max\{N_1, N_2\} \).

Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary we have
\[ S_x \leq f(I_x, I_y) \]
or
\[ S_x \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + B_1I_x + C_1I_y}. \] (6.4)

Similarly,
\[ I_x \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{A_1 + B_1S_x + C_1S_y}. \] (6.5)
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\[ S_y \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{A_2 + B_2I_x + C_2I_y}, \quad (6.6) \]

and

\[ I_y \geq \frac{\alpha_2}{A_2 + B_2S_x + C_2S_y}. \quad (6.7) \]

From (6.4) and (6.5) we have

\[ 0 \leq A_1(S_x - I_x) \leq C_1(I_xS_y - S_xI_y). \quad (6.8) \]

From (6.6) and (6.7) we have

\[ 0 \leq A_2(S_y - I_y) \leq B_2(S_xI_y - I_xS_y). \quad (6.9) \]

In view of (6.8) and (6.9) the result follows.

Assuming that we relax the condition on the parameters so that,

\[ A_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = 0 \]

the proof of Theorem 6.1 still holds implying that every solution of System (2.1) converges to a finite limit.

Also, when

\[ A_1 = 0 \]

the proof of Theorem 6.1 still holds implying that every solution of System (5.1) converges to a finite limit.
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