

SCREEN TRANSVERSAL LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF INDEFINITE KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

RAM SHANKAR GUPTA AND A. SHARFUDDIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce screen transversal lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. We obtain a characterization of screen transversal anti-invariant lightlike submanifolds as well as a condition for induced connection to be a metric connection and provide an example of ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold of R_2^9 . Also, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for radical screen transversal lightlike submanifolds to be totally geodesic.

INTRODUCTION

In the theory of submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds it is interesting to study the geometry of lightlike submanifolds due to the fact that the intersection of the normal vector bundle and the tangent bundle is non-trivial making it more interesting and remarkably different from the study of non-degenerate submanifolds. The geometry of lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds was studied by Duggal and Bejancu [4]. Further, Duggal and Sahin studied screen CR-lightlike and generalized CR-lightlike [5, 6] submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds. Later, B. Sahin initiated the study of transversal lightlike and screen transversal lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold [1, 2] which are different from previously introduced submanifolds. Recently, we have studied lightlike submanifolds, slant lightlike submanifolds, screen slant lightlike submanifolds, generalised CR-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds [8,9,10,11] and obtained many interesting results. However, a general notion of screen transversal lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds has not been introduced as yet.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C40, 53C50, 53D15.

Key words and phrases. Degenerate metric, Kenmotsu manifold, CR-submanifold.

This research is partly supported by the UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION (UGC), India under a Major Research Project No. SR. 36-321/2008. The first author would like to thank the UGC for providing the financial support to pursue this research work.

In Section 1, we have collected the formulae and information which are useful in subsequent sections. In Section 2, we define screen transversal, screen transversal anti-invariant and radical transversal lightlike submanifolds. In Section 3, we obtained a characterization of screen transversal anti-invariant lightlike submanifolds as well as a condition for induced connection to be a metric connection and provided an example of ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold of R_2^9 . In Section 4, we have studied radical screen transversal lightlike submanifolds.

1. PRELIMINARIES

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold \overline{M} is said to be an indefinite almost contact metric manifold if there exist structure tensors $\{\phi, V, \eta, \overline{g}\}$, where ϕ is a $(1,1)$ tensor field, V a vector field, η a 1-form and \overline{g} is the semi-Riemannian metric on \overline{M} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)V, & \eta \circ \phi = 0, & \phi V = 0, & \eta(V) = 1 \\ \overline{g}(\phi X, \phi Y) = \overline{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), & \overline{g}(X, V) = \eta(X) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for any $X, Y \in T\overline{M}$, where $T\overline{M}$ denotes the Lie algebra of vector fields on \overline{M} .

An indefinite almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} is called an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold if [3],

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\overline{g}(\phi X, Y)V + \eta(Y)\phi X, \text{ and } \overline{\nabla}_X V = -X + \eta(X)V \quad (1.2)$$

for any $X, Y \in T\overline{M}$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on \overline{M} .

A submanifold M^m immersed in a semi-Riemannian manifold $\{\overline{M}^{m+k}, \overline{g}\}$ is called a lightlike submanifold if it admits a degenerate metric g induced from \overline{g} whose radical distribution $\text{Rad}(TM)$ is of rank r , where $1 \leq r \leq m$.

Now, $\text{Rad}(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$, where

$$TM^\perp = \bigcup_{x \in M} \{u \in T_x \overline{M} : \overline{g}(u, v) = 0, \forall v \in T_x M\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Let $S(TM)$ be a *screen distribution* which is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $\text{Rad}(TM)$ in TM , that is, $TM = \text{Rad}(TM) \perp S(TM)$. We consider a *screen transversal vector bundle* $S(TM^\perp)$, which is a semi-Riemannian complementary vector bundle of $\text{Rad}(TM)$ in TM^\perp . Since, for any local basis $\{\xi_i\}$ of $\text{Rad}(TM)$, there exists a local frame $\{N_i\}$ of sections with values in the orthogonal complement of $S(TM^\perp)$ in $[S(TM)]^\perp$ such that $\overline{g}(\xi_i, N_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\overline{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0$, it follows that there exists a *lightlike transversal vector bundle* $\text{ltr}(TM)$ locally spanned by $\{N_i\}$ (cf.[4], pg. 144). Let $\text{tr}(TM)$ be the complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundle to

TM in $T\bar{M}|_M$. Then

$$\begin{cases} tr(TM) = ltr(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp) \\ T\bar{M}|_M = S(TM) \perp [\text{Rad}(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)] \perp S(TM^\perp). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

A submanifold $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of \bar{M} is said to be

- (i) r-lightlike if $r < \min\{m, k\}$;
- (ii) Coisotropic if $r = k < m$, $S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$;
- (iii) Isotropic if $r = m < k$, $S(TM) = \{0\}$;
- (iv) Totally lightlike if $r = m = k$, $S(TM) = \{0\} = S(TM^\perp)$.

Let $\bar{\nabla}$, ∇ and ∇^t denote the linear connections on \bar{M} , M and vector bundle $tr(TM)$, respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X U = -A_U X + \nabla_X^t U, \quad \forall U \in \Gamma(tr(TM)), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\{\nabla_X Y, A_U X\}$ and $\{h(X, Y), \nabla_X^t U\}$ belong to $\Gamma(TM)$ and $\Gamma(tr(TM))$, respectively and A_U is the shape operator of M with respect to U . Moreover, according to the decomposition (1.4), h^l, h^s are $\Gamma(ltr(TM))$ -valued and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ -valued *lightlike second fundamental form* and *screen second fundamental form* of M , respectively, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h^l(X, Y) + h^s(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^l(N) + D^s(X, N), \quad N \in \Gamma(ltr(TM)), \quad (1.8)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X W = -A_W X + \nabla_X^s(W) + D^l(X, W), \quad W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp)), \quad (1.9)$$

where $D^l(X, W)$, $D^s(X, N)$ are the projections of ∇^t on $\Gamma(ltr(TM))$ and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, respectively and ∇^l, ∇^s are linear connections on $\Gamma(ltr(TM))$ and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, respectively. We call ∇^l, ∇^s the lightlike and screen transversal connections on M , and A_N, A_W are shape operators on M with respect to N and W , respectively. Using (1.5) and (1.7)~(1.9), we obtain

$$\bar{g}(h^s(X, Y), W) + \bar{g}(Y, D^l(X, W)) = g(A_W X, Y), \quad (1.10)$$

$$\bar{g}(D^s(X, N), W) = \bar{g}(N, A_W X). \quad (1.11)$$

Let \bar{P} denote the projection of TM on $S(TM)$ and let ∇^*, ∇^{*t} denote the linear connections on $S(TM)$ and $\text{Rad}(TM)$, respectively. Then from the decomposition of tangent bundle of lightlike submanifold, we have

$$\nabla_X \bar{P}Y = \nabla_X^* \bar{P}Y + h^*(X, \bar{P}Y), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X + \nabla_X^{*t} \xi, \quad (1.13)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, where h^*, A^* are the second fundamental form and shape operator of distributions $S(TM)$ and $\text{Rad}(TM)$,

respectively.

From (1.12) and (1.13), we get

$$\bar{g}(h^l(X, \bar{P}Y), \xi) = g(A_\xi^* X, \bar{P}Y), \quad (1.14)$$

$$\bar{g}(h^*(X, \bar{P}Y), N) = g(A_N X, \bar{P}Y), \quad (1.15)$$

$$\bar{g}(h^l(X, \xi), \xi) = 0, \quad A_\xi^* \xi = 0. \quad (1.16)$$

In general, the induced connection ∇ on M is not a metric connection. Since $\bar{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, from (1.7), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = \bar{g}(h^l(X, Y), Z) + \bar{g}(h^l(X, Z), Y). \quad (1.17)$$

However, it is important to note that ∇^* , ∇^{*t} are metric connections on $S(TM)$ and $\text{Rad}(TM)$, respectively.

A plane Π in $T_x \bar{M}$ of a Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} is called a ϕ -section if it is spanned by a unit vector X orthogonal to V and ϕX , where X is a non-null vector field on \bar{M} . The sectional curvature $K(\Pi)$ with respect to Π determined by X is called a ϕ -sectional curvature. If \bar{M} has a ϕ -sectional curvature c which does not depend on the ϕ -section at each point, then c is constant in \bar{M} . Then, \bar{M} is called an indefinite Kenmotsu space form and is denoted by $\bar{M}(c)$. The curvature tensor \bar{R} of $\bar{M}(c)$ is given by [3, 7]

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{c-3}{4} \{ \bar{g}(Y, Z)X - \bar{g}(X, Z)Y \} + \frac{c+1}{4} \{ \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \bar{g}(X, Z)\eta(Y)V - \bar{g}(Y, Z)\eta(X)V + \bar{g}(\phi Y, Z)\phi X \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\phi Z, X)\phi Y - 2\bar{g}(\phi X, Y)\phi Z \} \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

for any X, Y and Z vector fields on \bar{M} .

2. SCREEN TRANSVERSAL LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS

In this section, we introduce the screen transversal (ST), the radical screen transversal and the screen transversal anti-invariant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be an r -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} with structure vector field tangent to M . Suppose that $\phi \text{Rad}TM$ is a vector subbundle of $S(TM^\perp)$. Then, $\phi \text{ltr}TM$ is also vector subbundle of the screen transversal bundle $S(TM^\perp)$. Moreover, $\phi \text{ltr}TM \cap \phi \text{Rad}TM = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $\text{ltr}TM$ is invariant with respect to ϕ , then by the definition of a lightlike submanifold, there exist vector fields $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ such that $\bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1$. Also from (1.1), we get

$$\bar{g}(\phi\xi, \phi N) = \bar{g}(\xi, N) - \eta(N)\eta(\xi) = \bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1.$$

However, if $\phi N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ then by the hypothesis we get $\bar{g}(\phi\xi, \phi N) = 0$. Hence, we obtain a contradiction which implies that ϕN does not belong to $\text{ltr}(TM)$.

Now, suppose that $\phi N \in \Gamma S(TM)$. Then, in a similar way, we have

$$0 = \bar{g}(\phi\xi, \phi N) = \bar{g}(\xi, N) - \eta(N)\eta(\xi) = \bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1$$

which is again a contradiction. Thus ϕN does not belong to $S(TM)$.

We can also obtain that ϕN does not belong to $\text{Rad}TM$. Then, from the decomposition of a lightlike submanifold, we conclude that $\phi N \in S(TM^\perp)$.

Now, suppose that there exists a vector field $X \in \Gamma(\phi\text{ltr}TM \cap \phi\text{Rad}TM)$. Then, we have $\bar{g}(X, \phi N) = 0$, since $X \in \Gamma(\phi\text{ltr}TM)$. However, for an r -lightlike submanifold there exists some vector fields $\phi X \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$ for $X \in \Gamma\phi(\text{Rad}TM)$ such that $\bar{g}(\phi X, N) \neq 0$. Since ϕ is skew symmetric, we get

$$0 \neq \bar{g}(\phi X, N) = -\bar{g}(X, \phi N) = 0$$

which is a contradiction. □

Definition 2.1. *Let M be an r -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} with structure vector field tangent to M . Then M is called screen transversal lightlike (ST -lightlike) submanifold of \bar{M} if there exists a screen transversal vector bundle $S(TM^\perp)$ such that $\phi\text{Rad}TM \subset S(TM^\perp)$.*

Definition 2.2. *Let M be ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} with structure vector field tangent to M . Then*

- (a) *M is called a radical ST -lightlike submanifold of \bar{M} if $S(TM)$ is invariant with respect to ϕ .*
- (b) *M is called a ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold of \bar{M} if $S(TM)$ is screen transversal with respect to ϕ i.e. $\phi S(TM) \subset S(TM^\perp)$.*

From Lemma 2.1 and Definition 2.1, it follows that $\phi\text{ltr}TM \subset S(TM^\perp)$. Also it is obvious that there are no co-isotropic and totally lightlike ST -lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. It is important to point out that $\phi\text{Rad}TM$ and $\phi\text{ltr}TM$ are not orthogonal otherwise $S(TM^\perp)$ would be degenerate.

For ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} with structure vector field tangent to M , we have

$$S(TM^\perp) = \phi(\text{Rad}TM) \oplus \phi(\text{ltr}TM) \perp \phi(D') \perp D_0 \tag{2.1}$$

where $S(TM) = D' \perp \{V\}$ and D_0 is complementary distribution orthogonal to $\phi(\text{Rad}TM) \oplus \phi(\text{ltr}TM) \perp \phi(D')$ in $S(TM^\perp)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} with structure vector field tangent to M . Then the distribution D_0 is invariant with respect to ϕ .*

Proof. For $X \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}TM)$, we have

$$\overline{g}(\phi X, \xi) = -\overline{g}(X, \phi\xi) = 0 \text{ and } \overline{g}(\phi X, N) = -\overline{g}(X, \phi N) = 0$$

which implies that $\phi(D_0) \cap \text{Rad}TM = \{0\}$ and $\phi(D_0) \cap \text{ltr}(TM) = \{0\}$. From (1.1), we get

$$\overline{g}(\phi X, \phi\xi) = \overline{g}(X, \xi) - \eta(X)\eta(\xi) = \overline{g}(X, \xi) = 0$$

and

$$\overline{g}(\phi X, \phi N) = \overline{g}(X, N) - \eta(X)\eta(N) = \overline{g}(X, N) = 0$$

which shows that $\phi(D_0) \cap \phi(\text{Rad}TM) = \{0\}$ and $\phi(D_0) \cap \phi(\text{ltr}(TM)) = \{0\}$. Moreover, since $\phi(S(TM))$ and D_0 are orthogonal, we obtain

$$\overline{g}(\phi X, Z) = -\overline{g}(X, \phi Z) = 0$$

and

$$\overline{g}(\phi X, \phi Z) = \overline{g}(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(Z) = \overline{g}(X, Z) = 0$$

for $Z \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, $\phi Z \in \Gamma(\phi(S(TM)))$, which shows that

$$\phi(D_0) \cap S(TM) = \{0\} \text{ and } \phi(D_0) \cap \phi(S(TM)) = \{0\}.$$

Thus, we find that

$$\phi(D_0) \cap TM = \{0\}, \phi(D_0) \cap \text{ltr}(TM) = \{0\}$$

and

$$\phi(D_0) \cap \{\phi(S(TM)) \perp \phi(\text{ltr}(TM)) \oplus \phi(\text{Rad}TM)\} = \{0\}$$

which shows that D_0 is invariant. \square

3. ST -ANTI-INVARIANT LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS

In this section, we study ST -anti invariant lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold.

Hereafter, $(R_q^{2m+1}, \phi_0, V, \eta, \overline{g})$ will denote the manifold R_q^{2m+1} with its usual Kenmotsu structure given by

$$\begin{cases} \eta = dz, & V = \partial z, \\ \overline{g} = \eta \otimes \eta + e^{2z}(-\sum_{i=1}^{q/2} (dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i) \\ \quad + \sum_{i=q+1}^m (dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i)), \\ \phi_0(\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i \partial x^i + Y_i \partial y^i) + Z \partial z) = \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i \partial x^i - X_i \partial y^i) \end{cases}$$

where (x^i, y^i, z) are the Cartesian coordinates. We have:

Example 3.1. Let $\overline{M} = (R_2^9, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space, where \overline{g} is of signature $(-, +, +, +, -, +, +, +, +)$ with respect to the canonical basis

$$\{\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial z\}.$$

Consider a submanifold M of R_2^9 , defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \sin u_1 \cosh u_2, & x_2 = \cos u_1 \cosh u_2, \\ x_3 = \sin u_1 \sinh u_2, & x_4 = \cos u_1 \sinh u_2, \\ x_5 = u_1, \quad x_6 = 0, & x_7 = \cos u_3, \quad x_8 = \sin u_3 \\ & z = t. \end{cases}$$

Then a local frame of TM is given by

$$\begin{cases} Z_1 = e^{-z}(\cos u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_1 - \sin u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_2 + \cos u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_3 \\ \quad - \sin u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_4 + \partial x_5), \\ Z_2 = e^{-z}(\sin u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_1 + \cos u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_2 + \sin u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_3 \\ \quad + \cos u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_4), \\ Z_3 = e^{-z}(-\sin u_3 \partial x_7 + \cos u_3 \partial x_8), \quad Z_4 = V = \partial z. \end{cases}$$

Thus, M is a 1-lightlike submanifold with $\text{Rad}TM = \text{span}\{Z_1\}$, and screen distribution $S(TM) = \text{span}\{Z_2, Z_3\}$. It is easy to see that $S(TM)$ is not invariant with respect to ϕ . Since $\{\phi Z_2, \phi Z_3\}$ is non-degenerate it follows that $\phi(S(TM)) \subset S(TM^\perp)$.

The lightlike transversal bundle $\text{ltr}(TM)$ is spanned by

$$N = \frac{e^{-z}}{2}(-\cos u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_1 + \sin u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_2 - \cos u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_3 + \sin u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_4 + \partial x_5)$$

and the screen transversal bundle is

$$S(TM^\perp) = \text{span}\{W_1 = \phi N, W_2 = \phi Z_1, W_3 = \phi Z_3, W_4 = \phi Z_2\}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} W_1 = \frac{e^{-z}}{2}(-\sin u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_1 - \cos u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_2 - \sin u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_3 \\ \quad - \cos u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_4 + \partial x_6), \\ W_2 = e^{-z}(\sin u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_1 + \cos u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_2 + \sin u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_3 \\ \quad + \cos u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_4 + \partial x_6), \\ W_3 = e^{-z}(-\cos u_3 \partial x_7 - \sin u_3 \partial x_8), \\ W_4 = e^{-z}(-\cos u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_1 + \sin u_1 \sinh u_2 \partial x_2 - \cos u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_3 \\ \quad + \sin u_1 \cosh u_2 \partial x_4). \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that M is a ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold.

Now, we give a characterization for ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu space forms.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu space form $\bar{M}(c)$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Suppose that $c \neq -1$ and $\phi(\text{Rad}TM) \subset S(TM^\perp)$. Then M is ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold if and only if*

$$\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi, \phi N) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 we have $\phi(\text{ltr}(TM)) \subset S(TM^\perp)$, since $\phi(\text{Rad}TM) \subset S(TM^\perp)$. From (1.1), we have

$$\bar{g}(\phi X, N) = -\bar{g}(X, \phi N) = 0$$

for $X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$. Hence $\phi(S(TM)) \cap \text{Rad}TM = \{0\}$.

Moreover, we find that

$$\bar{g}(\phi X, \phi \xi) = 0 \text{ and } \bar{g}(\phi X, \phi N) = 0$$

for $X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$. Hence, we get

$$\phi(S(TM)) \cap \text{Rad}TM = \{0\} \text{ and } \phi(S(TM)) \cap \phi(\text{ltr}(TM)) = \{0\}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain that $\phi(S(TM)) \cap \text{ltr}(TM) = \{0\}$.

On the other hand, since $\phi \xi \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, from (1.18), we get

$$\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi, \phi N) = \frac{(c+1)}{2} \bar{g}(X, \phi Y) \bar{g}(\xi, N).$$

Since $c \neq -1$ and $\bar{g}(\xi, N) \neq 0$, for $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$. Thus, $\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi, \phi N) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(S(TM)) \perp S(TM)$.

Therefore, $\phi(S(TM)) \subset S(TM^\perp)$ as $\phi(S(TM)) \cap \text{ltr}(TM) = \{0\}$. \square

Let F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 be the projection morphisms on $\phi(\text{Rad}TM)$, $\phi(S(TM))$, $\phi(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and D_0 respectively. Then, for $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, we have

$$W = F_1W + F_2W + F_3W + F_4W. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, for $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ we write

$$\phi W = BW + CW \quad (3.3)$$

where BW and CW are tangential and transversal parts of ϕW . Then applying ϕ to (3.2), we get

$$\phi W = \phi F_1W + \phi F_2W + \phi F_3W + \phi F_4W. \quad (3.4)$$

Separating tangential and transversal parts in (3.4), we find

$$BW = \phi F_1W + \phi F_2W, \quad CV = \phi F_3W + \phi F_4W. \quad (3.5)$$

We put

$\phi F_1 = B_1, \phi F_2 = B_2, \phi F_3 = C_1$ and $\phi F_4 = C_2$, we can write (3.4) as follows:

$$\phi W = B_1W + B_2W + C_1W + C_2W, \quad (3.6)$$

where $B_1V \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, $B_2V \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, $C_1V \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}TM)$ and $C_2V \in \Gamma(D_0)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a ST -anti-invariant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . Then the induced connection is a metric connection if and only if $\nabla_X^s \phi \xi$ has no components in $\phi(S(TM))$ for $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$.*

Proof. From (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_X \phi \xi &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi) \xi + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \xi) = -\bar{g}(\phi X, \xi) V + \eta(\xi) \phi X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \xi) \\ \Rightarrow \bar{\nabla}_X \phi \xi &= \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \xi) \\ \Rightarrow \bar{\nabla}_X \xi &= -\phi \bar{\nabla}_X \phi \xi \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$.

Using (1.7), (1.9) and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X \xi + h^l(X, \xi) + h^s(X, \xi) &= \phi A_{\phi \xi} X - B_1 \nabla_X^s \phi \xi \\ &\quad - B_2 \nabla_X^s \phi \xi - C_1 \nabla_X^s \phi \xi - C_2 \nabla_X^s \phi \xi - \phi D^l(X, \phi \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the tangential parts of above equation, we get

$$\nabla_X \xi = -B_1 \nabla_X^s \phi \xi - B_2 \nabla_X^s \phi \xi.$$

Thus assertion follows from (cf. [4], Theorem 2.4, p. 161). □

4. RADICAL ST LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS

In this section, we study radical ST -lightlike submanifolds.

We have:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a radical ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . Then, screen distribution $S(TM)$ is integrable if and only if*

$$\bar{g}(h^s(X, \phi Y), \phi N) = \bar{g}(h^s(\phi Y, X), \phi N) \tag{4.1}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

Proof. From (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$\bar{g}([X, Y], N) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y, \phi N) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X, \phi N)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$. Then, using (1.5) and (1.7), we get (4.1). □

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a radical ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . Then, radical distribution is integrable if and only if*

$$\bar{g}(h^s(\xi_1, \phi X), \phi \xi_2) = \bar{g}(h^s(\xi_2, \phi X), \phi \xi_1)$$

for $X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$.

Proof. From (1.1), (1.2), (1.13) and (1.16), we have

$$\bar{g}([\xi_1, \xi_2], X) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\xi_1} \phi \xi_2, \phi X) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\xi_2} \phi \xi_1, \phi X).$$

Then, using (1.5), we get $g([\xi_1, \xi_2], X) = -g(A_{\phi \xi_2} \xi_1, \phi X) + g(A_{\phi \xi_1} \xi_2, \phi X)$. Thus, from (1.10), we obtain

$$g([\xi_1, \xi_2], \phi X) = \bar{g}(h^s(\xi_1, \phi X), \phi \xi_2) - \bar{g}(h^s(\xi_2, \phi X), \phi \xi_1)$$

which proves the assertion. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let M be a radical ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . Then $S(TM)$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on M if and only if $h^s(X, \phi Y)$ has no components in $\phi(\text{Rad}TM)$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.*

Proof. Using (1.1), (1.2), (1.5), (1.7) and (1.10), we obtain

$$\bar{g}(\nabla_X Y, N) = \bar{g}(h^s(X, \phi Y), \phi N)$$

which proves the assertion. \square

Similarly, we have:

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be a radical ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . Then, $\text{Rad}TM$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on M if and only if $h^s(\xi_1, \phi X)$ has no components in $\phi(\text{ltr}(TM))$ for $\xi_1 \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$ and $X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.*

Now, we have:

Theorem 4.5. *Let M be a radical ST -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . Then, the induced connection is a metric connection if and only if $h^s(X, \phi Y)$ has no components in $\phi(\text{ltr}(TM))$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.*

Proof. From (1.2), we have $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi \bar{\nabla}_X \phi \xi$ for $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$. Hence, using (1.7) and (1.9), we get

$$\nabla_X \xi + h^l(X, \xi) + h^s(X, \xi) = \phi A_{\phi \xi} X - \phi \nabla_X^s \phi \xi - \phi D^l(X, \phi \xi).$$

Taking inner product in above with $Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, we obtain

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = -g(A_{\phi \xi} X, \phi Y).$$

Hence, using (1.10), we get

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = -\bar{g}(h^s(X, \phi Y), \phi \xi).$$

Thus, the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Sahin, *Transversal lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds*, An. Univ. Vest Timis., Ser. Mat., XLIV1 (2006), 119–145.
- [2] B. Sahin, *Screen transversal lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 38 (2008), 1439–1448.
- [3] K. Kenmotsu, *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math J., 21 (1972), 93–103.
- [4] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*, vol. 364 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [5] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, *Screen Cauchy Riemann lightlike submanifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar., 106 (1–2) (2005), 137–165.
- [6] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, *Generalised Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar., 112 (1–2) (2006), 107–130.
- [7] N. Aktan, *On non existence of lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kenmotsu space form*, Turk. J. Math., 32 (2008), 1–13.
- [8] R. S. Gupta and A. Sharfuddin, *Generalised Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds*, Note Mat., 30 (2) (2010), 49–59 (to appear).
- [9] R. S. Gupta and A. Sharfuddin, *Lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci., 5 (9–12) (2010), 475–496.
- [10] R. S. Gupta and A. Sharfuddin, *Slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifold*, Turk. J. Math., 35 (2011), 115–127.
- [11] R. S. Gupta and A. Upadhyay, *Screen slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds*, Kyungpook Math. J., 50 (2) (2010), 267–279.

(Received: April 29, 2010)

(Revised: September 18, 2010)

Ram Shankar Gupta

Univ. School of Basic and Applied Sciences
Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University
Sector - 16C, Dwarka, Delhi-110075, India
E-mail: ramshankar.gupta@gmail.com

A. Sharfuddin

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Natural Sciences
Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University)
New Delhi-110025, India
E-mail: sharfuddin_ahmad12@yahoo.com